



Literature Review of the Effects of Common Impurities Found in High Density CO₂ Pipelines on the Rate of Internal Corrosion

August 2023

Project number: RP3.4-10

Project title: Literature Review of the Effects of Common Impurities Found in High Density CO₂ Pipelines on the Rate of Internal Corrosion

Authors: Evelyn Xu, Jason Hemetsberger, Ying Huo

Project team: Deakin University – Mike Tan, Research Director

Deakin University – Ying Huo

Atteris – Tom Seeber/Evelyn Xu/Jason Hemetsberger



Australian Government
Department of Industry, Science,
Energy and Resources

AusIndustry
Cooperative Research
Centres Program

IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER

Future Fuels CRC advises that the information contained in this report comprises statements based on research. Future Fuels CRC makes no warranty, express or implied, for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of such information or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights, including any parties intellectual property rights. To the extent permitted by law, Future Fuels CRC (including its employees and Participants) excludes all liability to any person for any consequences, including but not limited to all losses, damages, costs, expenses and any other compensation, arising directly or indirectly from using this report (in part or in whole) and any information or material contained in it.

© Copyright 2023 Future Fuels CRC. All Rights Reserved

PROJECT INFORMATION	
Project number	RP3.4-10
Project title	Literature Review of the Effects of Common Impurities Found in High Density CO ₂ Pipelines on the Rate of Internal Corrosion
Research Program	RP3 – Network Lifecycle Management
Milestone Report Number	2
Description	Submission of a report, 1. Reviewing the current knowledge and recommended practices to manage internal corrosion of carbon steel pipelines transporting dense phase CO₂ 2. Providing recommendations covering but not limited to: 3. Best current practices to avoid high corrosion rates looking at the synergistic effects of impurities 4. Possible conditions where knowledge of corrosion rates is limited that require further investigation 5. Knowledge expansion in terms of corrosion mechanisms and the development of new corrosion models
Research Provider	Deakin University
Project Leader and Team	Deakin University: Mike Tan, Research Director Deakin University: Ying Huo Atteris: Tom Seeber/Evelyn Xu
Industry Proponent and Advisor Team	Grahame Strong (Corrosion Control Engineering) Deny Nugraha (SANTOS) Andrew Pearce (Woodside) Alan Gillen (Woodside) Sandra Kentish (University of Melbourne) Daniel Sandana (ROSEN)
Related Commonwealth Schedule	Research Coordinator to advise
Project start/completion date	Commencement date: Q3 2022 Completion date: Q4 2022 / Q1 2023 depending on commencement date
IP Status	Restricted – available to participants only
Approved by	
Date of approval	06-June-2023

Table of Contents

Project Information	4
Important Disclaimer	5
Project Information	4
Summary of Report	7
1. Introduction	8
2. CO₂ Phase Behaviour.....	8
3. Impurities from Common Carbon Capture Systems	9
4. Pipeline Corrosion in CO₂-H₂O Systems	13
5. Pipeline Corrosion in Tertiary CO₂ Mixtures that contain water	24
5.1 CO₂-H₂O-NO_x Mixtures.....	24
5.2 CO₂-H₂O-SO_x Mixtures.....	26
5.3 CO₂-H₂O-H₂S Mixtures	27
5.4 CO₂-H₂O-H₂ Mixtures.....	29
5.5 CO₂-H₂O-N₂ Mixtures.....	29
5.6 CO₂-H₂O-O₂ Mixtures	29
5.7 CO₂-H₂O-CH₄ Mixtures.....	31
5.8 CO₂-H₂O-CO Mixtures	32
5.9 CO₂-H₂O Mixtures with Mercury.....	32
6. Pipeline Corrosion in Complex CO₂ Mixtures.....	32
6.1 CO₂-H₂O-NO_x-O₂ Mixtures	33
6.2 CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-O₂ Mixtures.....	34
6.3 CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-NO₂ Mixtures	37
6.4 CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-H₂S-O₂ Mixtures	37
6.5 CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-NO₂-H₂S-O₂ Mixtures	39
7. Existing Models	39
7.1 Thermodynamic Models.....	40
7.2 Corrosion Rate Models.....	41
8. Research Gaps	42
8.1 Impurity H₂O Research gaps.....	42

8.2 Impurity O ₂ Research gaps	42
8.3 Impurity NO _x Research gaps.....	43
8.4 Impurity sulphur Research gaps	43
8.5 Impurity mercury Research gaps	43
8.6 Flow rate research gaps	43
8.7 CO ₂ corrosion prediction models gaps.....	44
8.8 Impurity H ₂ S corrosion prediction models knowledge gaps	44
9. Conclusions and Recommendations.....	44
9.1 Conclusion	44
9.2 Recommendations	45
10. References.....	46
Appendix I: Literature Search Document Summary	4
Appendix I Reference List.....	4
Appendix II: Academic Database Summary	4

Summary of Report

This Report provides a comprehensive review of the current knowledge and recommended practices for managing internal corrosion in carbon steel pipelines transporting dense phase CO₂. The focus is on understanding the synergistic effects of impurities and providing recommendations to avoid high corrosion rates. Additionally, areas where knowledge of corrosion rates is limited and requires further investigation are identified, along with the need for expanding knowledge on corrosion mechanisms and the development of new corrosion models.

The Report highlights the importance of considering the combined presence of impurities, such as O₂, H₂S, SO₂, and NO_x, as they can significantly accelerate the corrosion of carbon steel pipelines. Synergistic effects among these impurities have been observed, resulting in corrosion rates higher than the sum of those associated with individual impurities. Therefore, best current practices are recommended to address these synergistic effects and mitigate the risk of high corrosion rates.

Furthermore, the Report identifies certain conditions where knowledge of corrosion rates is limited and further investigation is needed. These conditions may include specific combinations of impurities, varying concentrations, and specific operating conditions. It is crucial to expand the understanding of these conditions to enhance corrosion management strategies and develop targeted mitigation measures.

Additionally, the Report emphasizes the need for knowledge expansion in terms of corrosion mechanisms and the development of new corrosion models. Understanding the underlying mechanisms behind the synergistic effects of impurities and their interaction with the pipeline materials is essential for accurate corrosion prediction and effective corrosion management strategies. The development of new corrosion models will enable more reliable assessments and predictions of corrosion rates in dense phase CO₂ pipelines.

In conclusion, this Report provides valuable insights into managing internal corrosion in carbon steel pipelines transporting dense phase CO₂. By considering the synergistic effects of impurities, implementing best current practices, investigating conditions with limited knowledge, and expanding corrosion mechanisms and models, operators can enhance corrosion management strategies and ensure the integrity and reliability of CO₂ pipelines.

1. INTRODUCTION

The transportation of CO₂ (Carbon Dioxide) from the capture point to storage and utilisation sites is an integral component of CCUS (Carbon Capture, Utilisation and Storage) projects. Whilst ships, trucks and trains can be used to transport CO₂, pipelines are typically considered to be the most safe, reliable, and cost-effective method for transporting CO₂ [1], [2]. An understanding of the internal corrosion mechanisms present within CO₂ pipelines is required to manage and control internal corrosion and ensure that CO₂ pipelines can be safely operated.

Pipeline transportation of CO₂ over longer distances is typically regarded as most efficient and economical when the CO₂ is in either the compressible liquid or supercritical phases. This can be attributed to the larger density associated with these phases in comparison with gaseous phase CO₂ resulting in smaller frictional energy losses along the pipeline [3]. Whilst it has been acknowledged that supercritical CO₂ offers superior pipeline transportation properties in comparison with CO₂ in the gaseous phase, there is currently a poor understanding of internal corrosion mechanisms in supercritical CO₂ pipelines. Whilst it has been acknowledged by many operators that the formation of a free water phase in a supercritical CO₂ pipeline can result in severe corrosion rates, there is currently limited understanding of the effects that other impurities may have on internal corrosion rates. Given that many Australian Oil and Gas operators are interested in operating CO₂ pipelines, the information presented in this paper can be used by Australian Oil and Gas operators to carefully manage and control corrosion in their CO₂ pipelines.

The purpose of this paper is to review the available information in literature in relation to corrosion in supercritical CO₂ pipelines. This review will focus on the effect of impurities on supercritical CO₂ pipeline corrosion rates and discuss any potential synergistic effects between impurities that result in a significant increase in corrosion rates. Impurity specifications from CO₂ pipelines in the United States of America (USA) along with impurity level recommendations from literature and standards will also be presented. The effect of pressure, temperature, flow rate and localised corrosion on the overall corrosion rate will also be briefly reviewed. Industry interviews will also be conducted, so that an understanding of the impurities that Australian CO₂ pipeline operators are most concerned about can be developed.

By summarising the available information in literature in relation to supercritical CO₂ pipeline internal corrosion, this paper can be used by operators as a guide for managing and controlling corrosion. The literature review will also enable the current knowledge gaps associated with supercritical CO₂ pipeline transport to be identified. By identifying both the knowledge gaps in our current understanding of CO₂ pipeline corrosion and the impurities that Australian CO₂ pipeline operators are most concerned about, new research opportunities can be identified that will close the knowledge gaps that are of concern to industry.

2. CO₂ PHASE BEHAVIOUR

CO₂ is typically transported through pipelines as a dense phase fluid. For the purpose of this report, a dense phase fluid will be considered to be a fluid with a pressure that is larger than its critical pressure. A fluid in its dense phase can either be behaving as a compressible liquid, or a supercritical fluid. A supercritical fluid will be considered to be a fluid with a pressure and temperature that exceeds the critical pressure and temperature of the fluid. Figure 1 presents a phase diagram of pure CO₂. The critical pressure and temperature of CO₂ has been indicated on this phase diagram.




Figure 1. Phase diagram of pure CO₂. The data used to construct this phase diagram was extracted from Witkowski et al [4]. The critical properties of pure CO₂ were extracted from Peletiri et al [5].

It is important to note that the properties associated with CO₂ mixtures will vary as the mixture composition changes. This means that both the critical point and the phase diagram of the mixture will be dependent on its composition. Whilst the focus of this report is internal corrosion in supercritical CO₂, unplanned pipeline depressurisation and process upsets can result in phase changes associated with the pipeline fluid. The effect of phase changes associated with pipeline depressurisation and process upsets on corrosion rates will also be briefly discussed in this report.

3. IMPURITIES FROM COMMON CARBON CAPTURE SYSTEMS

The impurities that can result in corrosion in supercritical CO₂ pipelines are often introduced into the CO₂ stream during the carbon capture process. It is essential that CO₂ pipeline operators understand the impurities that may be present in their CO₂ pipelines so that they can carefully manage and control internal corrosion.

Post-combustion capture, pre-combustion capture and oxy-fuel are the three main process routes for capturing CO₂ from power plants [6]. The composition of a CO₂ pipeline will be directly dependent on the CO₂ source and carbon capture technology that has been employed. Table 1 provides a summary of the expected concentration of impurities in a dried CO₂ stream from post-combustion, pre-combustion and oxyfuel carbon capture processes on both coal-fired and gas-fired plants [7]. Table 1 can be used by operators to understand the impurities that may be present in CO₂ captured from power plants.

Table 1. Summary of the expected CO₂ impurity concentrations associated with the most common carbon capture processes on coal and gas-fired plants [7]. It has been assumed that the CO₂ streams produced from these carbon capture processes have been dehydrated.

Capture Process	SO ₂ [vol%]	NO [vol%]	H ₂ S [vol%]	H ₂ [vol%]	CO [vol%]	CH ₄ [vol%]	N ₂ /Ar/ O ₂ [vol%]	Total Amount of Impurities [vol%]
Coal-Fired Plants								
Post-Combustion Capture	<0.01	<0.01	0	0	0	0	0.01	0.01
Pre-Combustion Capture	0	0	0.01-0.6	0.8-2.0	0.03-0.4	0.01	0.03-0.6	2.1-2.7
Oxy-Fuel	0.5	0.01	0	0	0	0	3.7	4.2
Gas-Fired Plants								
Post-Combustion Capture	<0.01	<0.01	0	0	0	0	0.01	0.01
Pre-Combustion Capture	-	-	<0.01	1.0	0.04	2.0	1.3	4.4
Oxy-Fuel	<0.01	<0.01	0	0	0	0	4.1	4.1

The level of impurities that can be expected in CO₂ streams derived from other anthropogenic sources, such as the steam methane reforming (SMR) process, are likely to be significantly different [8]. It is essential that strict impurity level requirements are developed for CO₂ pipelines regardless of the source of CO₂. Table 2 summarises the CO₂ stream composition expected for CO₂ that has been produced from the SMR process and subsequently captured. The DYNAMIS CO₂ composition values presented in Table 2 correspond to the CO₂ mixture impurity levels expected for CO₂ that has been produced through the SMR process, captured using the activated methyl diethanolamine (MDEA) process, and then dehydrated and compressed [9]. The Port Arthur CO₂ composition values correspond to the composition of CO₂ produced in an industrial scale SMR and carbon capture process that is operated by Air Products at Port Arthur [8], [10]. It should be noted that both water and hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) have not been included in the Port Arthur CO₂ composition data, even though both of these components are expected to be responsible for major integrity threats at typical CO₂ pipeline transportation pressures, and it is expected that some H₂S will leak into the CO₂ mixture [8].

The impurity levels presented in Tables 1 and 2 should only be used as a guideline. The CO₂ mixtures produced from each carbon capture process can be purified further than the impurity levels presented in Tables 1 and 2, although larger capture costs would be incurred. Table 3 presents maximum impurity levels recommended in the literature for CO₂ pipelines, whilst Table 4 presents a summary of the maximum impurity levels used for existing CO₂ pipelines in the USA. Furthermore, Table 5 presents the planned CO₂ pipeline specifications for the ARAMIS project, which is a project that revolves around transporting CO₂ captured from hard-to-abate industries and storing it in depleted offshore gas fields under the Dutch North Sea.

Table 2. Summary of CO₂ mixture composition data for CO₂ that has been produced by the SMR process and subsequently captured. The DYNAMIS CO₂ composition values represent the expected impurity levels in a CO₂ stream produced by capturing and purifying the CO₂ produced in the SMR process using an activated MDEA process and dehydration [9]. The Port Arthur CO₂ composition values correspond to the composition of the CO₂ stream produced by the SMR process at Port Arthur [10].

Component	DYNAMIS Project Expected Composition of CO ₂ Stream Produced by SMR Process [9]	Port Arthur CO ₂ Stream [10]
H ₂ O [ppm Unless Stated]	400	-
CO ₂ [vol% Unless Stated]	99.75	98.11 mol%
MDEA [ppm]	0	-
MEA (Monoethanolamine) [ppm]	0.1	-
CH ₄ [ppm Unless Stated]	130	10800
H ₂ [ppm Unless Stated]	1950	1600
CO [ppm Unless Stated]	4.6	2000
N ₂ [ppm Unless Stated]	2.4	4600
TEG (Triethylene glycol) [ppm]	0.2	-

Table 3. Summary of the maximum impurity levels recommended for CO₂ pipelines by a wide range of literature studies [11].

Impurity	DYNAMIS Project ⁽¹⁾ [9]	NETL ⁽²⁾ [12]	Literature Review [13]	CarbonNet ⁽³⁾ [9], [14]
H ₂ O [ppmv]	500	500	20-650	100
H ₂ S [ppmv]	200	100	20-13000	100
CO [ppmv]	2000	35	10-5000	-
O ₂ [ppmv]	< 40000	10	100-40000	-
NO _x [ppmv]	100	100	20-2500	-
SO _x [ppmv]	100	100	10-50000	-

Notes

1. DYNAMIS was an integrated European project that investigated the composition requirements for CO₂ pipeline transportation applications.
2. NETL (National Energy Technology Laboratory) issued a report that summarises the recommended impurity limits for CO₂ pipeline transportation streams.
3. CarbonNet is a project exploring the feasibility of a commercial scale CCS network in Victoria, and they have developed a preliminary CO₂ specification for its CCS hub-based network.

Table 4. Summary of the maximum impurity levels associated with existing CO₂ pipelines in the USA [11], [12].

Impurity	Canyon Reef Carriers	Central Basin Pipeline	Cortex Pipeline	Weyburn
H ₂ O [ppmv]	122	630	630	20
H ₂ S [ppmv]	< 260	< 26	20	9000
CO [ppmv]	-	-	-	1000
O ₂ [ppmv]	-	< 14	-	< 70
NO _x [ppmv]	-	-	-	-
SO _x [ppmv]	-	-	-	-

Table 5. Summary of the CO₂ composition specification selected for the proposed ARAMIS project [15].

Component	Constraint	Unit	Specification
CO ₂	Larger Than	mol%	95
H ₂ O	Less Than	ppm mole	70
N ₂	Less Than	mol%	2.4
O ₂	Less Than	ppm mole	40
H ₂	Less Than	ppm mole	7500
Ar	Less Than	mol%	0.4
CH ₄	Less Than	mol%	1
CO	Less Than	ppm mole	750
O ₂ + N ₂ + H ₂ + Ar + CH ₄ + CO	Sum Less Than	ppm mole	40000
NO _x	Sum Less Than	ppm mole	2.5
H ₂ S	Less Than	ppm mole	5
H ₂ S + COS (Carbonyl Sulphide) + SO _x + DMS (Dimethyl Sulphide)	Sum Less Than	ppm mole	20
Amine	Less Than	ppm mole	1
Aldehydes	Sum Less Than	ppm mole	10
Carboxylic Acids and Amides	Sum Less Than	ppm mole	1

Phosphorous-Containing Compounds	Sum Less Than	ppm mole	1
NH ₃	Less Than	ppm mole	3
HCN (Hydrogen Cyanide)	Less Than	ppm mole	2
Total Volatile Organic Compounds (Excluding Methanol, Ethanol and Aldehydes)	Sum Less Than	ppm mole	10
Methanol	Less Than	ppm mole	620
Ethanol	Less Than	ppm mole	20
C ₂₊ (Aliphatic Hydrocarbons)	Sum Less Than	ppm mole	1200
Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Sum Less Than	ppm mole	0.1
Glycols (TEG)	Sum Less Than	-	Glycol specification will be selected by following a dew point specification ⁽¹⁾

Notes

1. The maximum tolerable mole fraction of glycols has been selected to be the mole fraction where the mixture dew point is -10 °C at 20 bar (As measured or predicted using the Cubic Plus Association equation of state).

The impurities reported in Tables 1 to 5 will be used as a basis for the impurities considered in this paper. Other impurities that have been established to increase corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂ pipelines will also be discussed.

4. PIPELINE CORROSION IN CO₂-H₂O SYSTEMS

DNV-RP-F104 (Design and operation of carbon dioxide pipelines) and ISO 27913 (Carbon dioxide capture, transportation and geological storage) have been identified as the main two standards for the design of CO₂ pipelines [3], [16]. ISO/TR 27921 is a technical report released by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) that discusses dense phase internal corrosion [11]. Internal corrosion in CO₂-H₂O systems have been discussed in all of these standards and reports.

DNV-RP-F104 has indicated that internal corrosion represents a significant risk to the integrity of CO₂ pipelines if there is insufficient dewatering of the pipeline fluid [3]. This standard indicates that a combination of the formation of a free water phase and a high CO₂ partial pressure can result in severe corrosion rates due to the formation of carbonic acid. DNV-RP-F104 recommends that the water content level of the CO₂ is carefully monitored and controlled to ensure that a free water phase cannot form at any location along carbon steel pipelines during both steady state operation and pipeline depressurisation and process upset events. Whilst this standard has suggested that careful material selection can be used to significantly decrease internal corrosion rates in CO₂ pipelines with a free water phase, this is not a feasible design strategy for projects where existing subsea hydrocarbon pipelines are being repurposed into subsea CO₂ pipelines.

ISO 27913 has recommended that the maximum water concentration associated with the CO₂ pipeline mixture is determined such that corrosion rates are within the design margins [16]. This standard has suggested that the maximum water concentration will be dependent on the pipeline operating conditions, and that water specifications should be made on the basis of relevant operating experience, experimental data and reliable verified corrosion models [16]. Using existing onshore CO₂ pipelines in the USA as a basis, ISO 27913 has

recommended that the maximum allowable water content of the pipeline mixture is specified to be between 20 and 630 ppmv. However, the CO₂ fluid that is typically transported through CO₂ pipelines in the USA tends to be relatively pure as it is captured from natural gas, which means that this recommendation is not necessarily applicable for CO₂ captured from other sources due to the presence of other impurities. The large range in the recommended pipeline allowable water content can be attributed to the synergistic effects between impurities, and due to a lack of data and current understanding in CO₂ pipeline corrosion mechanisms [16]. This means that the maximum allowable water content will be dependent on the concentration of the other impurities in the mixture. Both DNV-RP-F104 and ISO 27913 have indicated that there are currently not any reliable models for predicting corrosion rates in CO₂ pipelines that are being operated at both high pressure and with a free water phase [3], [16]. However, there is active research in this area.

ISO/TR 27921 has indicated that water dissolved in the dense phase CO₂ stream is not corrosive, and pipeline free water formation is required to produce a corrosive mixture [11]. This technical report has suggested that carbon steel can suffer general and pitting corrosion in wet CO₂ mixtures at rates of more than 1.0 mm/year, and that experimental studies show that corrosion rates in dense phase CO₂-H₂O mixtures increase with increasing temperature [11]. Under extreme conditions, ISO/TR 27921 has suggested that extremely large corrosion rates that exceed 30 mm/year can occur when steel is exposed to significant amounts of condensed water [11]. ISO/TR 27921 has also stated that the solubility of water in dense phase CO₂ decreases with decreasing pressure and temperature [11]. This result suggests that water drop out and significant corrosion rates could occur during process upset events that result in significant reductions in CO₂ mixture pressure and temperature. Whilst it has been acknowledged by ISO/TR 27921 that a protective Iron Carbonate (FeCO₃) corrosion product film can form when the concentration of dissolved corrosion products in the mixture become large, the technical report has indicated concerns about the failure in this film resulting in high localised corrosion rates [11].

A brief literature review of corrosion in dense phase CO₂ systems has also been provided in Annex A of ISO/TR 27921. Annex A has suggested that both laboratory experiments and field experience have confirmed that very low corrosion rates have been detected in dense phase CO₂ pipelines that have been operated in the range 20 ppmv to 650 ppmv [11]. This water impurity range is consistent with the water specifications used for current operating onshore CO₂ pipelines in the USA that were discussed in ISO 27913 [16]. Whilst ISO/TR 27921 has identified that thermodynamic models for predicting the formation of a free water phase in dense phase CO₂ systems can be used, these models cannot be extended to more complicated mixtures that have other components [11].

All of the standards and technical reports have indicated the importance of free water formation on corrosion in dense phase CO₂ systems. The solubility of H₂O in CO₂ refers to the maximum amount of H₂O that can dissolve into the CO₂-rich phase at a particular pressure and temperature. A free water phase will form in a CO₂-H₂O system when the global water concentration of the system exceeds the solubility of water in the CO₂-dominant phase. Since corrosion in supercritical CO₂ systems relies on the formation of a free water phase, an understanding of the solubility of water in supercritical CO₂ pipelines is important.

Choi and Nešić have completed extensive studies on the mutual solubilities of CO₂ and H₂O in the two co-existing phases, and they have used the results from these studies to predict the equilibrium concentration of corrosive species in the free water phase as a function of pressure and temperature [17]. Figure 2 presents both experimental data and the outputs from a thermodynamic model for the solubility of water in dense phase CO₂ [17]. The solubility of water in dense phase CO₂ was considered at temperatures of 20 °C, 25 °C, 50 °C and 75 °C in this Figure.

The thermodynamic model used in this paper was based on both the Nešić model [17], [18] (Which models the chemistry of the water-rich phase) and the Spycher model [17], [19] (Which enables modelling of the mutual solubilities of CO₂ and H₂O). The Nešić model uses pressure and temperature dependent equilibrium constant expressions for the carbonic acid formation and dissociation reactions to calculate the concentration of corrosive species in the free water phase [17], [18]. The Spycher model uses the Redlich-Kwong (RK) equation of state (EOS) in conjunction with chemical potential equality calculations to model the temperature and pressure dependence of the mutual solubility of CO₂ and H₂O [17], [19].

Figure 2 indicates that in the supercritical region, an increase in system pressure results in an increase in the solubility of H₂O in the supercritical CO₂ phase. This Figure also suggests that the solubility of water in supercritical CO₂ is larger in comparison with the compressible liquid and regular liquid phases. This result

suggests that phase changes in a supercritical CO₂ pipeline could result in the formation of a corrosive free water phase. The data also indicates that the solubility of water in the supercritical CO₂ phase increases as the system temperature increases from 50 °C to 75 °C.




Figure 2. Experimental data and thermodynamic model outputs for the solubility of water in CO₂ [17]. The red curves correspond to the outputs from the thermodynamic model, whilst the black curves are experimental data points. The dense phase CO₂ region at each temperature condition lies to the right of the vertical dotted line.

Although there is strong agreement between the selected thermodynamic model and the experimental data for the solubility of water in supercritical CO₂ at relatively low temperatures, Figure 2 indicates that the thermodynamic model is diverging away from the experimental data above 75 °C.

The relationship between solubility of the solubility of water in CO₂ and pressure and temperature has also been explored using thermodynamic software. Figure 3 presents the relationship between the solubility of water in CO₂ in a H₂O-CO₂ mixture and pressure and temperature, as calculated by the OLI software. The outputs produced by the software appear to match the solubility behaviour depicted in Figure 2.

It should be noted that the solubility of water in CO₂ will be directly affected by the presence of other impurities in the mixture. The effect of other impurities on the solubility of water in CO₂ will be discussed later in this Report.




Figure 3. Presents a plot of the relationship between the solubility of water in CO₂ in a H₂O-CO₂ mixture, and the pressure and temperature of the mixture [20]. This plot was constructed using the thermodynamic software OLI.

Choi and Nešić have argued that the solubility of CO₂ in the aqueous phase is also important because this will have a direct impact on the corrosivity of any free water phase that has formed [17]. Carbonic acid will only form in the free water phase when both H₂O and CO₂ are present in that phase. The solubility of CO₂ in a free water phase has been studied by multiple authors. Figure 4 presents the solubility of CO₂ in water using the experimental data and thermodynamic model used by Choi and Nešić over a wide range of pressure and temperature values [17].

Figure 4 suggests that the thermodynamic model provided a good fit to the experimental data over the entire temperature and pressure range considered. It was noted by Choi and Nešić that the solubility of CO₂ in the aqueous phase was much larger in comparison with the solubility of water in the CO₂-rich phase [17]. Figure 4 also suggests that the solubility of CO₂ in water increases as the pressure of the system increases, and that the CO₂ solubility decreases as temperature increases.

Choi and Nešić used the outputs from their thermodynamic calculations in conjunction with aqueous chemistry models to generate curves for the equilibrium carbonic acid concentration and pH within the free water phase as a function of pressure and temperature. Figures 5 and 6 presents the effect of pressure and temperature on the equilibrium carbonic acid concentration and pH within the free water phase respectively. A larger solution pH is indicative of a more corrosive pipeline mixture.




Figure 4. The solubility of CO_2 in the aqueous phase in a CO_2 - H_2O system [17]. The red curve and the black dots correspond to the curve that was produced using the thermodynamic model and the experimental data respectively.




Figure 5. The effect of pressure and temperature on the equilibrium concentration of carbonic acid in the free water phase in a CO_2 - H_2O system [17].




Figure 6. The effect of pressure and temperature on the equilibrium pH of the free water phase in a CO₂-H₂O system [17].

Figure 5 suggests that the equilibrium carbonic acid concentration in the aqueous phase increases as pressure increases, whilst this concentration value decreases as temperature increases [17]. Figure 6 shows that the solution pH decreased with an increase in pressure and increased as the system temperature increased [17]. This means that an increase in system pressure results in a more corrosive free water phase.

Halseid et al. has suggested that both field experience from the USA and lab experiments indicate that corrosion rates are insignificant when the water content is well below the solubility limit, which is consistent with the information provided in the CO₂ pipeline industry standards and technical reports [20]. The National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) has stated that corrosion does not occur in “rigorously dry CO₂” [12]. A study completed by Dugstad et al. suggested that corrosion does not take place in a dense phase CO₂-H₂O system with a water content of 500 ppmw (1221 ppm mole) [22], and this claim has been supported by other authors. Figure 7 presents the average corrosion rate of carbon steel in water-saturated supercritical CO₂ and under-saturated supercritical CO₂ at 50 °C and 80 bar for an experimental test that was run for 48 hrs [23]. Figure 8 presents the relationship between the average corrosion rate of carbon steel for supercritical CO₂ at 35 °C and 80 bar and the water content of the CO₂ mixture [23].




Figure 7. Average pitting and general corrosion rates of carbon steel in a supercritical CO₂-H₂O mixture at 50 °C and 80 bar [23]. The experimental tests used to collect the data in this plot were completed had a duration of 48 hrs. The water-saturated mixture experimental testing used a supercritical CO₂-H₂O mixture with a water concentration of 3400 ppm mole because the Spycher mutual solubility model indicates that 3400 ppm mole is the solubility of water in supercritical CO₂ at 50 °C and 80 bar [19], [23].




Figure 8. Average pitting and general corrosion rates of carbon steel in a supercritical CO₂-H₂O mixture at 35 °C and 80 bar [23]. The water-saturated mixture experimental testing used a mixture with a water concentration of 3437 ppm mole because the Spycher mutual solubility model indicates that this is the solubility of water in supercritical CO₂ at 35 °C and 80 bar [19], [23].

The key findings from the results presented in Figures 7 and 8 and the work completed by Hua et al. are as follows:

1. In water-saturated CO₂ at 50 °C, 90 % of the carbon steel surface did not show any signs of corrosion, and the dominant corrosion mechanism was pitting corrosion [23].
2. In water-saturated CO₂ at 35 °C, the entire carbon steel surface had suffered from corrosion and uniform corrosion rates were significantly larger in comparison with the 50 °C experimental testings [23].
3. Most importantly, the results suggest that a temperature reduction from 50 °C to 35 °C can result in pitting and uniform corrosion at lower water concentrations that lie well below the water saturation condition, and that localised corrosion rates can be over an order of magnitude larger in comparison with the average steel corrosion rate [23].

Many other authors have also investigated corrosion in saturated and undersaturated supercritical CO₂-H₂O systems. Table 6 provides a summary of the key experimental results when investigating localised corrosion in saturated and undersaturated supercritical CO₂-H₂O systems. Table 7 provides a summary of the key experimental results obtained by other authors when investigating the rate of uniform corrosion in saturated and undersaturated supercritical CO₂-H₂O systems. For comparison purposes, the results from the study completed by Hua et al. have also been added to both tables.

Table 6. Summary of the experimental results obtained by scholars who investigated the rate of localised corrosion in saturated and undersaturated supercritical CO₂-H₂O systems.

Authors and Reference	CO ₂ Pressure [bar]	Temperature [°C]	Water Content [ppm mole]	Flow Rate [rpm Unless Stated]	Exposure Time [hrs]	Corrosion Test Method	Localised Corrosion Rate [mm/yr]
Sim et al. [25]	80	40	≈ 900 ≈ 1800 ≈ 2600 ≈ 3500 Water Saturated CO ₂	Stagnant Conditions	168	Static Autoclave	Average ≈ 0.22 Maximum ≈ 0.65 Average ≈ 0.30 Maximum ≈ 0.64 Average ≈ 0.22 Maximum ≈ 0.55 Average ≈ 0.22 Maximum ≈ 0.65 Average ≈ 0.19 Maximum ≈ 0.50
Hua et al. [23]	80	35	300 700 1770 2800 Water Saturated CO ₂ (3437 ppm mole)	Stagnant Conditions	48	Static Autoclave	0 ≈ 0.29 ≈ 0.62 ≈ 0.85 ≈ 0.92
Hua et al. [23]	80	50	700 1600 2650 Water Saturated CO ₂ (3400 ppm mole)	Stagnant Conditions	48	Static Autoclave	No Attack No Attack 0.20 1.99

Table 7. Summary of the experimental results obtained by scholars who investigated uniform corrosion rates in saturated and undersaturated supercritical CO₂-H₂O systems.

Authors and Reference	CO ₂ Pressure [bar]	Temperature [°C]	Water Content [ppm mole]	Flow Rate [rpm Unless Stated]	Exposure Time [hrs]	Corrosion Test Method	Uniform Corrosion Rate [mm/yr]
Choi and Nešić [24]	80	50	Water Saturated CO ₂ (Approximately 3400 ppm mole)	Stagnant Conditions	24	Static Autoclave	≈ 0.4
Sim et al. [25]	80	40	244	Stagnant Conditions	168	Static Autoclave	0.08
			488				0.07
			732				0.06
			976				0.08
			1220				0.08
			3660				0.08
Hua et al. [23]	80	35	300	Stagnant Conditions	48	Static Autoclave	0.004
			700				0.005
			1200				0.012
			1770				0.028
			2800				0.068
			Water Saturated CO ₂ (3437 ppm mole)				≈ 0.1
Hua et al. [23]	80	50	700	Stagnant Conditions	48	Static Autoclave	No corrosion
			1600				No corrosion
			2650				0.014
			Water Saturated CO ₂ (3400 ppm mole)				≈ 0.024
Ayello et al. [26]	75.8	40	244	Stagnant Conditions	5	Using Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy in	1.2
			2440				2.3

						conjunction with an autoclave.	
Zhang et al. [27]	95 – 182	50 – 130	Water Saturated CO ₂	995 rpm (Which is equivalent to an outer coupon surface rotation speed of 4m/s, resulting in the CO ₂ mixture experiencing turbulent flow).	96	Autoclave equipped with a magnetic stirrer and a coupon at the end of the shaft.	0.014 – 0.043
Cabrini et al. [28]	123 – 146	25 – 60	Water Saturated CO ₂	180	48-400	Autoclave equipped with a mechanical stirrer rotating in the free water phase.	0.01 – 0.1

Most of the results in Table 7 indicate that uniform corrosion rates are relatively low (Less than 0.08 mm/yr) when the mixture water content lies below the solubility limit of a CO₂-H₂O mixture [28]. It should be noted that the results obtained by Ayello et al. are inconsistent with the results produced by other authors, as they measured a corrosion rate of 1.2 mm/yr in an undersaturated supercritical CO₂-H₂O system with only 244 ppm mole of water [26]. Given that CO₂ pipelines in the USA operate under strict water impurity specifications to prevent free water formation (CO₂ pipelines in the USA are typically operated with a water content of less than 600 ppm) and the corrosion rate has been measured to be between 0.00025 and 0.0025 mm/year in these pipelines, the experimental corrosion rate data produced by Ayello et al. is inconsistent with the corrosion rates measured in the field [30]. The experimental results obtained by the other scholars are more consistent with CO₂ pipeline operational experience in America, and the expected corrosion behaviour for CO₂ pipeline systems presented in DNV-RP-F104 and ISO 27913.

Whilst the results produced by Hua et al. indicate that uniform corrosion rates rapidly increase as the water content of a CO₂-H₂O mixture increases and the CO₂-rich phase becomes saturated [23], there was a minimal increase in uniform corrosion rates as the CO₂-rich phase became saturated [25]. Sim et al. argued that the minimal increase in uniform corrosion rate between 976 and 3660 ppm mole water can be attributed to a protective corrosion scale (In the form of iron carbonate, FeCO₃) being most effective over this composition range at decreasing corrosion rates [25]. The formation of a protective FeCO₃ layer has significant effects on the overall general corrosion rate of steel because it has been shown that a FeCO₃ layer can reduce the corrosion rate of steel by over two orders of magnitude [28], [31]. The significant impact that the formation of a FeCO₃ layer has on corrosion rates at the steel surface can be attributed to the FeCO₃ film acting as a diffusion barrier to electrochemically active species and a surface coverage effect that results in the FeCO₃ crystals adhering to the substrate [28]. Sun et al. have developed a unified model for predicting FeCO₃ precipitation that accounts for both temperature and ionic strength and correlates well with experimental data [32]. Their model suggests that the tendency for FeCO₃ precipitation increases as the system temperature increases. Since this model indicates that the FeCO₃ precipitation is a direct function of ionic strength [32], the tendency of FeCO₃ to precipitate out as a solid will be dependent on the presence of other impurities in the pipeline mixture.

By studying the corrosion behaviour of carbon steels in a supercritical CO₂-H₂O mixture over an exposure time of between 24 and 120 hrs, it has been shown that an increase in the sample exposure time results in a significant reduction in the average general corrosion rate [31]. A visual inspection of the morphology and composition of the corrosion products revealed that the surface of the sample was covered by a dense and crystalline layer of FeCO₃ [31]. Both Choi et al. and Wei et al. have argued that these results can be attributed to the gradual formation of the FeCO₃ layer resulting in a reduction in corrosion rates over time [30], [31]. This result indicates that the formation of a protective corrosion layer can result in a reduction in supercritical CO₂ pipeline corrosion rates over time.

Regardless of whether a supercritical CO₂-H₂O mixture is undersaturated or saturated with water, Tables 6 and 7 indicate that pitting corrosion rates were much higher in comparison with the general corrosion rates [30]. These results suggest that pitting corrosion is the most dominant corrosion mechanism in undersaturated and saturated CO₂-H₂O systems [30].

In supercritical CO₂-H₂O mixtures that contain a significant amount of water, the main phase present is the free water phase [30]. This free water phase will contain dissolved supercritical CO₂. Choi and Nešić investigated the corrosion rate of carbon steels in a supercritical CO₂ mixture with a free water phase, and they showed that corrosion rates as high as 20 mm/year can be expected in the CO₂ saturated water-rich phase at 50 °C and 80 bar [24]. This corrosion rate is far faster in comparison with their measured CO₂-phase corrosion rate presented in Table 7, which highlights the acceleration of corrosion rates induced by the formation of a free water phase.

The severe corrosion rates identified by Choi and Nešić for supercritical CO₂-H₂O mixtures that contain a free water phase has also been detected by many other scholars. Figure 9 presents a comparison of the corrosion rates experienced by carbon steels in water-saturated supercritical CO₂ and supercritical CO₂-saturated water phase systems that do not contain any other impurities [30]. Figure 9 clearly highlights the extreme corrosion rates than can be present in CO₂-H₂O mixtures that contain a free water phase that is saturated with water. These extreme corrosion rates highlight the importance of carefully controlling the water content of CO₂ pipeline mixtures.




Figure 9. Comparison of the general corrosion rate in supercritical CO₂-H₂O systems that contain a water-saturated supercritical CO₂ phase, and systems that contain a free water phase that is saturated with supercritical CO₂ [30]. The graph was constructed by Wei et al. [30] and created using the experimental data produced by a wide range of scholars [27], [28], [31], [34], [35], [36].

Multiple authors have studied the effect of exposure time on the general corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O water mixtures [30]. Zhang et al. investigated the effect of exposure time on the general corrosion rate of X65 pipeline steel in CO₂-saturated water at 80 °C and 95 bar [30], [38]. The results from their study have been presented in Figure 10. This Figure suggests that corrosion rates were extremely large (Approximately 28 mm/yr) after 2 hours of immersion, and Wei et al. have argued that the extreme corrosion rate at the beginning of the experimental testing can be attributed to no protective scale formation [30]. The Figure suggests that corrosion rates decreased rapidly over the 2 hr to 50 hr immersion time range [30], and Zhang et al. have indicated that this can be attributed to surface passivation by corrosion scales formed at the steel surface [38]. It should be noted that whilst a dense corrosion scale had formed after 96 hrs, corrosion rates in the CO₂-saturated water phase remained above 5 mm/yr [38].




Figure 10. Presents the effect of immersion time on the corrosion rate of X65 pipeline steel in a supercritical CO₂-H₂O mixture at 80 °C with a CO₂-saturated free water phase [38].

The NORSOK [39] and KSC models [40] are often used to predict the corrosion rate of steel in CO₂ environments, but they do not provide accurate corrosion rate predictions in supercritical CO₂ pipelines [30]. The poor predictive capacity of the NORSOK and KSC models in water-saturated supercritical CO₂ mixtures was extensively studied by Seiersten [36]. Whilst the experimental work conducted by Seiersten indicated that the corrosion rates of X65 steel in water saturated with supercritical CO₂ at 75 to 90 bar and 40 °C ranged from between 1 mm/yr and 6 mm/yr, the NORSOK and KSC models predicted corrosion rates of 17 and 10 mm/yr, respectively [30], [36]. The significant discrepancy between the model outputs and the experimental results suggest that these models are not even capable of providing an indication of the potential corrosion rate in supercritical CO₂-H₂O mixtures [36].

5. PIPELINE CORROSION IN TERTIARY CO₂ MIXTURES THAT CONTAIN WATER

5.1 CO₂-H₂O-NO_x MIXTURES

It has been noted by many authors that the presence of NO_x in supercritical CO₂ pipelines can result in severe pipeline steel corrosion rates. The term “NO_x” is being used in this Report to refer to both nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂).

DNV-RP-F104 has identified NO_x as an impurity that can compromise the integrity of CO₂ pipelines. This standard has indicated that NO_x can participate in cross-chemical reactions that result in the formation of corrosive nitric acid (HNO₃) [3]. DNV-RP-F104 has also suggested that the presence of NO_x will result in the solubility of water in the supercritical CO₂ phase changing [3]. This means that the presence of NO_x will affect the pressure and temperature conditions that the formation of a corrosive free water phase can be expected.

DNV-RP-F104 has noted that laboratory experiments have shown that corrosion can occur in the presence of impurities such as NO_x in systems with low water content, including systems with a water content that lies below the solubility limit of water in supercritical CO₂-H₂O mixtures [3]. DNV-RP-F104 has suggested that the corrosion mechanisms in CO₂-H₂O-NO_x mixtures are not fully understood, although the standard has argued that NO_x is

likely to form acids that can result in severe corrosion rates in combination with only a small fraction of free water [3].

ISO 27913 has also noted that the presence of NO_x as an impurity in a CO₂ pipeline can result in the formation of a free water phase containing strong acids that can significantly increase corrosion rates [16]. This standard has highlighted the experimental work completed by Dugstad et al. [41] as validation of the risks of nitric acid formation in CO₂-H₂O-NO_x mixtures. ISO 27913 has also noted that there is experimental evidence that nitric acid formation can occur at NO_x concentrations of less than 50 ppmv [16].

ISO/TR 27921 has highlighted similar concerns to DNV-RP-F104 and ISO 27913. ISO/TR 27921 has suggested that the extent of nitric acid formation and condensation will be strongly dependent on both the composition and the temperature of the CO₂ mixture [11]. ISO/TR 27921 has argued that an aqueous nitric acid phase can form at water contents of less than 100 ppmv, and it has flagged NO_x as one of the most aggressive impurities in CO₂ pipelines from a corrosion point of view [11]. ISO/TR 27921 has also noted that as of 2016, there was not any publicly available models that can accurately predict the formation of aqueous phases in CO₂-H₂O-NO_x mixtures [11].

Multiple studies in the literature have been dedicated to understanding the effect of NO_x on corrosion rates in CO₂-H₂O-NO_x mixtures. Table 8 provides a summary of the key experimental results presented in the literature for the uniform corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-NO_x mixtures. It should be noted that most literature studies do not focus on corrosion rates in CO₂-H₂O-NO_x mixtures; Rather they focus on corrosion rates in mixtures that contain additional impurities in addition to CO₂, H₂O and NO_x.

Table 8. Summary of the experimental results obtained by scholars who investigated uniform corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-NO_x systems.


Authors and Reference	CO ₂ Mixture Pressure [bar]	Temperature [°C]	Impurity Content [ppm mole Unless Stated]			Flow Rate [rpm Unless Stated]	Exposure Time [days Unless Stated]	Corrosion Test Method	Uniform Corrosion Rate [mm/yr]
			NO ₂	NO	H ₂ O				
Ayello et al. [26]	75.8	40	100 ppmv	0	2440 ppmv	Stagnant Conditions	5 hrs	Using Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy in conjunction with an autoclave	11.6
Brown et al. [42]	100	50	50	0	500	3 rpm, which corresponds to an average flow speed of 0.2 m/s.	1	Slim (Internal diameter 20-30 mm) autoclaves are rotated on a shaft inside a temperature controlled chamber. Test specimens were mounted on small cylindrical racks that slide from one end to the other as the autoclave rotates.	0.127
			100	0			7		0.02
			200	0			1		0.205
			200	0			3		0.088
			200	0			7		0.025
			0	200			7		0.013

Wei et al. have argued that the increase in corrosion rates caused by the presence of NO₂ in CO₂-H₂O-NO₂ mixtures can be attributed to the sharp decline in pH caused by the formation of nitric acid in the free water phase [30]. This assertion is consistent with the information provided by the CO₂ pipeline transportation standards. The mobility and reactivity of acids in supercritical CO₂ at 60 °C and 120 bar was also investigated by Ruhl and Kranzmann, and they found that nitric acid was extremely mobile and corrosive towards carbon steel [21], [47]. Wei et al. have also indicated that the corrosion product film formed during the nitric acid-induced

corrosion of carbon steel is fluffy and has limited protectiveness, and that the severe corrosion rates seen in CO₂ mixtures that contain NO_x can be attributed to the poor protectiveness of this film [30].

Although Oxygen (O₂), sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and NO₂ all have the potential to accelerate corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O environments, it has been established that NO₂ has the largest effect on the corrosion rate of carbon steel when all of these impurities are at the same concentration [27].

Whilst it has been established that the dissolution of NO₂ into the free water phase of a supercritical CO₂ mixture will result in a reduction in pH, the exact mechanism is not fully understood. It is known that NO₂ will react with water to form nitric acid and NO under atmospheric conditions according to the following chemical reaction:



And this is the reaction that has also been proposed for dense phase CO₂ [21], [29]. Given that NO_x has the ability to promote extremely fast corrosion rates in supercritical pipelines, further study of the NO_x corrosion mechanism is required to define the safe stream compositions for CO₂ transportation [29].

5.2 CO₂-H₂O-SO_x MIXTURES

SO_x have been identified as another impurity that result in severe pipeline steel corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂ pipelines. The term “SO_x” is being used in this Report as a reference to sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and sulphur trioxide (SO₃). SO_x have been noted as one of the most aggressive impurities in CO₂ pipelines by ISO/TR 27921 [11].

The corrosion risks associated with the presence of SO_x as a CO₂ pipeline impurity, as described by DNV-RP-F104, ISO 27913 and ISO/TR 27921, appear to mirror the corrosion risks in CO₂ pipelines that contain NO_x. DNV-RP-F104 has suggested that SO_x have the ability to participate in cross-chemical reactions that result in the formation of a highly corrosive sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄) aqueous phase [3]. The potential for SO_x to accumulate in the free water phase of a CO₂ pipeline and forming sulphuric acid has also been highlighted by ISO 27913 [16]. Laboratory experiments have indicated that, like NO_x, corrosion may occur in CO₂-H₂O-SO_x mixtures with a low water content that lies well below the water solubility limit of water in supercritical CO₂ in H₂O-CO₂ mixtures [3]. Whilst it is understood that corrosion in CO₂-H₂O-SO_x mixtures can be aggressive even at low water content, the corrosion mechanisms in these mixtures are still not fully understood [3]. DNV-RP-F104 suggested that small scale laboratory testing has indicated that NO_x is more corrosive in comparison with SO₂ at very low water content [3]. DNV-RP-F104 has also indicated that the presence of SO₂ as an impurity could result in corrosion assisted fatigue in the presence of a free water phase [3].

DNV-RP-F104 has also flagged the potential for SO_x to affect the solubility of water in the CO₂-dominant supercritical phase [3]. This means that SO_x could possibly affect the pressure and temperature conditions that a corrosive free water phase could form in a CO₂ pipeline. ISO/TR 27921, however, has suggested that the presence of SO₂ in a CO₂ pipeline results in a negligible reduction in the solubility of water in the CO₂-rich supercritical phase [11].

ISO 27913 has also indicated that there is the potential for the deposition of solid sulphur (S) in CO₂ pipelines, in addition to the formation of sulphuric acid, when SO_x is present as an impurity [16]. This standard has also suggested that there is experimental evidence that sulfuric acid can be formed in CO₂ pipelines at SO_x concentrations of less than 50 ppmv [16]. ISO/TR 27921 has indicated that the extent of acid formation and condensation and the composition of the corrosive free water phase in CO₂ pipelines that contain SO_x will be strongly dependent on the pipeline operating conditions and the overall composition of the CO₂ mixture [11]. This technical report has also suggested that, as of 2020, there are no publicly available models that can be used to predict the formation of aqueous phases when reactive impurities such as SO_x are present [11].

The effect of SO_x on corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂ mixtures has received considerable attention in the literature. Table 9 provides a summary of the key experimental results that have been obtained from experimental studies on general corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-SO₂ systems.

Table 9. Summary of the experimental results obtained by scholars who investigated uniform corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-SO₂ systems.

Authors and Reference	CO ₂ Mixture Pressure [bar]	Temperature [°C]	SO ₂ Partial Pressure [bar Unless Stated]	Water Content [ppm mole]	Flow Rate [rpm Unless Stated]	Exposure Time [hrs Unless Stated]	Corrosion Test Method	Uniform Corrosion Rate [mm/yr]
Ayello et al. [26]	75.8	40	100 ppmv	2440 ppmv	Stagnant Conditions	5	Using Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy in conjunction with an autoclave.	4.6
Choi et al. [31]	80	50	0 0.8 (1 mole%)	Water Saturated CO ₂	Stagnant Conditions	24	Static Autoclave	≈ 0.4 ≈ 5.6
Choi and Nešić [35]	80	50	0 0.08 (1 mole%)	650	Stagnant Conditions	24	Static Autoclave	< 0.01 3.48
Brown et al. [42]	100	50	100 200 100	500 500 50	3 rpm, which corresponds to an average flow speed of 0.2 m/s.	7 days	Slim (Internal diameter 20-30mm) autoclaves are rotated on a shaft inside a temperature controlled chamber. Test specimens were mounted on small cylindrical racks that slide from one end to the other as the autoclave rotates.	No Attack < 0.005 < 0.005
Farelas et al. [43]	80	50	0 0.08 (0.1 mole%) 0.04 (0.05 mole%)	650	Stagnant Conditions	24	Static Autoclave	0 0.03 0.05
Farelas et al. [44]	80	50	0.8 (1 mole%)	650	Stagnant Conditions	24	Static Autoclave	3.48

5.3 CO₂-H₂O-H₂S MIXTURES

The corrosion of carbon steel in aqueous environments containing CO₂ and Hydrogen Sulphide (H₂S) is of significant concern in the oil and gas industry. Sour service can be expected in systems that contain both H₂S and a free water phase and it can result in a rapid increase in pipeline corrosion and cracking rates. This means that the impurity levels of H₂S need to be carefully controlled to ensure that the integrity of supercritical CO₂ pipelines are not compromised.

DNV-RP-F104 has suggested that an assessment of sour service material selection and qualification requirements is required for a CO₂ pipeline that contain H₂S as an impurity [3]. However, there are no sour service assessment standards that are currently available and directly applicable to CO₂ pipeline systems [3]. Whilst DNV-RP-F104 has indicated that the material selection recommendations and requirements for oil and gas production systems that contain H₂S provided by ISO 15156 [47] provides a good starting point for the design of CO₂ pipelines, these recommendations and requirements are not necessarily applicable to CO₂ pipelines [3]. The absence of a sour service assessment standard that is directly applicable to CO₂ pipelines is indicative of the lack of knowledge of the effect of H₂S on both corrosion and cracking rates in CO₂ pipelines. It should be noted that Det Norske Veritas (DNV) have recently launched a Joint Industry Project (JIP), CO₂ Safe and Sour, to investigate the levels of H₂S that are acceptable in CO₂ pipelines, and that the DNV recommended practice will be updated based off the findings from this project. This project is due for completion in 2024 [66].

The susceptibility of carbon steels to corrosion and cracking in the presence of H₂S is directly affected by the H₂S partial pressure, chloride concentration, temperature and solution pH of the CO₂ mixture. DNV-RP-F104 has indicated that the method used to determine the H₂S partial pressure and the free water phase pH in CO₂ pipelines that contain H₂S may need to be more elaborate than the methods discussed in Annexes C and D of ISO 15156-2 [65]. DNV-RP-F104 has also suggested that the pH of the free water phase in a CO₂ pipeline that contains H₂S is expected to be considerably lower in comparison with typical oil and gas pipelines [3], which highlights the corrosive potential of H₂S as an impurity in CCS pipelines.

The presence of H₂S in a CO₂ pipeline can result in the formation of sulphuric/sulphurous acid, and the deposition of elemental sulphur. DNV-RP-F104 has suggested that the deposited elemental sulphur will be corrosive to carbon steel in the presence of a free water phase [3]. Furthermore, the accumulation of both sulphuric and sulphurous acid in the free water phase of a CO₂ pipeline can trigger severe pipeline corrosion rates. ISO 27913 has also suggested that the presence of H₂S in CO₂ pipelines can promote corrosion at lower water levels in comparison with pipelines that contain only CO₂ and H₂O [16]. Whilst DNV-RP-F104 and ISO 27913 have highlighted the corrosive potential of H₂S an impurity, ISO/TR 27921 has indicated that the impact of H₂S on corrosion rates can be considered to be negligible when the water content (Or the content of other impurities) is low [11].

It has been reported that even small amounts of H₂S can alter the absorbability of H₂O onto the steel surface, causing water to be adsorbed on the entire steel surface. This phenomenon accelerates both general and localised corrosion of carbon steel in the supercritical CO₂ phase [48].

Choi et al. demonstrated that the introduction of 200 ppmv H₂S in the supercritical CO₂ phase significantly increased the corrosion rate of various tested materials (carbon steel, 1Cr, and 3Cr steels) in CO₂ with saturated water [46]. However, reducing the water content to 100 ppm mole in supercritical and liquid CO₂, along with 200 ppmv H₂S, decreased the corrosion rate to less than 0.01 mm/year [46]. Table 10 provides a summary of the key experimental results that have been obtained from experimental studies on general corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-H₂S systems.

Table 10. Summary of the experimental results obtained by scholars who investigated uniform corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-H₂S systems.

Authors and Reference	CO ₂ Mixture Pressure [bar]	Temperature [°C]	H ₂ S Content [ppmv Unless Stated]	Water Content [ppm mole]	Flow Rate [rpm Unless Stated]	Exposure Time [hrs Unless Stated]	Corrosion Test Method	Uniform Corrosion Rate [mm/yr]
Alami et al. [45]	150	80	3 vol%	Water Saturated CO ₂	Stagnant Conditions	336	Unknown	>0.3
Choi et al. [46]	120	80	200	Water Saturated CO ₂	Stagnant Conditions	48	Static Autoclave	0.41
	80			100		24		<0.01

5.4 CO₂-H₂O-H₂ MIXTURES

ISO/TR 27931 highlights that the low molecular weight of H₂ plays a significant role in reducing stream density and potentially necessitating larger pipeline diameters for its transportation [11].

Although concerns have been raised regarding the chemical effects of H₂, it is important to note that H₂ is neither toxic nor corrosive. However, H₂ can react with specific minerals. In terms of chemical impacts, H₂ is generally considered to be less significant compared to substances like SO₂, NO_x, and H₂S. These other compounds are typically regarded as having greater importance and potential effects [63].

5.5 CO₂-H₂O-N₂ MIXTURES

Foltran et al. discovered a decrease in the water solubility limit as a result of N₂. At a temperature of 40 °C and within the pressure range of 80 to 180 bar, the solubility of water (H₂O) in mixtures of CO₂ and N₂ was examined. The primary objective of this research was to enhance our comprehension of water solubility in complex CO₂-based mixtures, which is crucial for ensuring the safety of transporting anthropogenic CO₂ through pipelines in carbon capture and storage (CCS) technology [61].

The inclusion of N₂ in the mixtures led to a reduction of up to 42% in the mole concentration of water in CO₂ under the specified conditions. These findings hold significant value for the advancement of CCS pipeline development. Additionally, this study suggests that the temperature and overall density of the fluid mixture, rather than solely the applied pressure of the CCS mixture, are the key parameters influencing the solubility of H₂O in CO₂ + N₂ mixtures. This realization could have implications for understanding the essential parameters that need to be monitored during the safe transportation of CO₂ mixtures through CCS pipelines [61].

5.6 CO₂-H₂O-O₂ MIXTURES

The presence of Oxygen (O₂) in CO₂ pipelines can result in the acceleration of pipeline corrosion rates. O₂ has been identified by ISO/TR 27921 as one of the most aggressive CO₂ pipeline impurities from a corrosion perspective [11], which highlights the importance of carefully controlling the O₂ content of CO₂ pipelines to ensure that the integrity of the pipeline can be maintained.

In DNV-RP-F104, laboratory experiments have demonstrated that corrosion can occur in systems with low water content, even below the solubility limit of water in pure CO₂, when by-products such as O₂ is present. The specific corrosion mechanisms are not fully understood, but these by-products have the potential to alter the solubility of water [3].

According to ISO 27913, O₂ content should be controlled to prevent the formation of acids, solids, and corrosion that could adversely affect the operational integrity of the pipeline throughout its intended lifespan. It should be noted that a much lower level of O₂ might be required to avoid undesirable downstream impacts [16].

In terms of the influence of O₂ on the extent of corrosion observed in dense-phase CO₂, there appears to be some contrasting observations in the literature. Table 11 provides a summary of the key experimental results that have been obtained from experimental studies on general corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-O₂ systems [29].

Some research indicates that the presence of O₂ up to a certain concentration can increase the general corrosion rate by delaying the formation of FeCO₃ film on the steel surface and providing an additional cathodic reaction. Choi et al. conducted research on the effect of O₂ content (0%, 2%, 4%, and 6%) on the corrosion of X65 steel in a water-saturated SC CO₂ environment at 80 bar and 50°C after 24 hours of exposure. They observed that the presence of O₂ increased the corrosion rate of X65 steel in an SC CO₂ environment, but the corrosion rate did not further increase with higher O₂ content. The maximum corrosion rate of X65 steel reached 1 mm/year with the addition of 4% O₂. In the absence of O₂, the steel surface was covered with a dense and protective FeCO₃ film [29].

However, the presence of O₂ inhibited the formation of a protective FeCO₃ film and induced the formation of porous iron oxides, resulting in an increased corrosion rate. However, the experimental results by Hua et al. revealed that increasing the O₂ concentration from 0 to 1000 ppmv caused a progressive decrease in the general corrosion rates of X65 steel in water-saturated supercritical CO₂ but tended to increase the extent of localised corrosion observed on both materials. The operating temperature and O₂ concentration in the system seem to play a significant role in determining the balance between the passivating effect of iron oxides and the enhancement of the cathodic reaction due to O₂ presence [29].

The corrosion rates of carbon steels in a water-saturated supercritical (SC) CO₂ environment were found to exceed 0.1 mm/year in the presence of O₂. However, when the water content was below the solubility limit in SC CO₂, and even with the presence of O₂, corrosion in steels was minimal or absent. Nevertheless, in a water-saturated SC CO₂ environment, the addition of O₂ could lead to severe corrosion [30].

Table 11. Summary of the experimental results obtained by scholars who investigated uniform corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-O₂ systems.

Authors and Reference	CO ₂ Mixture Pressure [bar]	Temperature [°C]	O ₂ Content [ppmv Unless Stated]	Water Content [ppm mole Unless Stated]	Flow Rate [rpm Unless Stated]	Exposure Time [hrs Unless Stated]	Corrosion Test Method	Uniform Corrosion Rate [mm/yr]
Choi & Nešić [34], [35] Choi, Nešić, & Young [33]	80	50	0	Saturated (10 g)	Stagnant Conditions	24	Static Autoclave	0.38
			2 mol% (1.6 bar)					0.6
			4 mol% (3.3 bar)					1
			6 mol% (5.1 bar)					0.9
Choi & Nešić [35]	80	50	4 mol% (3.3 bar)	650 ppmv	Stagnant Conditions	24	Static Autoclave	No Corrosion
				2000 ppmv				No Corrosion
				3000 ppmv				<0.01
Collier et al. [52]	94.8 – 103	49	30000	Saturated (100 g)	Stagnant Conditions	120	High pressure rotating cage	0.099 (X42)
								0.093 (X60)
Ruhl & Kranzmann [53]	100	60	≈ 1000	Saturated (1 ml 55.6 mmol)	Stagnant conditions	120	Static Autoclave	0.008
Dugstad et al. [54]	100	50	200	50v% (Saturated)	Stagnant Conditions	432	Static Autoclave	0.6 (pit corrosion rate 17)
Alami et al. [45]	150	80	1000	Saturated	Stagnant Conditions	288	Unknown	0.2 – 0.9
Hua et al. [49]	80	50	40000	400 ml Water phase	Stagnant conditions	24	Static Autoclave	19.3
			0					19.2
			40000			120		14.1
			0					10.6
Brown et al. [42]	100	50	500	500	3 rpm corresponding to average velocity of 0.2 m/s	168	Rotating Autoclave	0.006 (3 rpm)

Hua et al. [23]	80	35	0	Water-saturated CO2 (10 g water added to autoclave)	Stagnant Conditions	48	Static Autoclave	0.10
			20					0.09
			500					0.07
		1000		0.03				
			300	0				
			650	0				
			1200	0.002				
			1770	0.005				
			2800	0.012				

5.7 CO₂-H₂O-CH₄ MIXTURES

The presence of water in dense CO₂ can have different effects depending on whether the water content is below or above its solubility limit. When the water content is below the solubility limit, it dissolves in the bulk CO₂ stream. Conversely, when the water content exceeds the solubility limit, a condensed and segregated aqueous phase can form on the steel surface. These two forms of water, dissolved and condensed, have distinct impacts on the corrosion behaviour of steel in dense CO₂. Therefore, understanding the solubility of water in high-pressure CO₂ is crucial for assessing dense CO₂ corrosion [57].

Consideration should be given to the proportion of different chemical components, including CH₄, in the CO₂ stream, as highlighted in DNV RP-F104. This is important because it can significantly influence the CO₂ stream's ability to dissolve water [3]. ISO/TR 27921 states that CH₄, as an impurity, is water soluble and can have specific effects on the CO₂ stream. These effects include the formation of an aqueous phase and a reduction in the concentration of H₂O. One potential consequence of these effects is the increased risk of corrosion [11].

Previous research has explored this topic through experimental and modelling studies, with some researchers investigating the influence CH₄ on the water solubility limit in dense CO₂.

Thermodynamic models have been developed to determine the solubility of H₂O in mixtures of CO₂ and 5.31% CH₄, along with the solubility of pure CH₄ and pure CO₂ at 50°C. These models take into account the composition of the liquid CO₂ mixture, which reduces the CO₂ content as pressure increases, subsequently affecting the solubility of H₂O [58].

In more complex mixtures, researchers Song and Kobayashi [59] conducted experiments involving CO₂, H₂O, and CH₄ (5.31% CH₄). Figure 11 illustrates the effect of CH₄ in lowering the water solubility limit in dense CO₂. The lines represent calculated results obtained using the Soave-Redlich-Kwong equation of state with the Huron-Vidal mixing rule (SRK-HV) model, while the data points correspond to experimental data from Song and Kobayashi [60].




Figure 11. Water solubility in pure CO₂ and in CO₂-CH₄ mixture [60].

5.8 CO₂-H₂O-CO MIXTURES

In pre-combustion technology, it is inevitable to have CO present in captured CO₂. CO is known for its strong reducing properties and can be readily oxidised to CO₂. Therefore, the concentration of CO should be low. While CO can react with certain minerals, in terms of chemical effects, it is generally considered to be less significant compared to other compounds such as SO₂, NO_x, and H₂S [63].

CO shares a similar characteristic to NO_x, as it can contribute to the occurrence of stress corrosion cracking (SCC) in CO₂-CO-H₂O environments, particularly when the partial pressure of CO₂ is below 20 bar. However, the investigation of this issue under high-pressure conditions in dense CO₂ is still an ongoing task. Presently, there is no evidence available to demonstrate whether CO can enhance or suppress general corrosion or induce localized corrosion in dense CO₂ environments [57].

5.9 CO₂-H₂O MIXTURES WITH MERCURY

Rohana et al. conducted a study that suggests the presence of both elemental and ionic Hg does not affect the corrosion activity of CO₂. The corrosion rates observed in glass cell and autoclave tests remained consistent with the simulated CO₂ corrosion rate, indicating that Hg has little to no impact [62].

This is likely because there is minimal or no reaction occurring between the ion carbonate (formed from the reaction between H₂O and dissolved CO₂ in the solution) and both the ionic and elemental forms of Hg. The stability of Hg species as compounds or elements prevents them from reacting with ion carbonate. Instead, ion carbonate is expected to release H⁺ ions, forming ion bicarbonate [62].

It should be noted, however, that the absence of fluid analysis for the solution limits the ability to draw conclusions about the interaction between ion carbonate and Hg species. The influence of Hg on CO₂ corrosion is solely based on the consistent corrosion rate values, regardless of the presence or absence of Hg [62].

6. PIPELINE CORROSION IN COMPLEX CO₂ MIXTURES

6.1 CO₂-H₂O-NO_x-O₂ MIXTURES

The available experimental data on impure dense-phase CO₂ systems, particularly those containing NO_x as an impurity, is limited. It is known that NO₂, being highly soluble in water, can react to form nitric acid and NO under atmospheric conditions. This reaction can lower the pH of the aqueous phase and accelerate the cathodic hydrogen evolution reaction rate [29].

According to DNV-RP-F104, O₂ will enhance the formation of elemental sulphur and sulphuric/nitric acid if SO_x/NO_x are present. Small-scale laboratory tests have shown that in CO₂ streams with very low water contents (50 ppmv), NO_x is more corrosive compared to SO₂. However, when the water content increases to 500 ppmv, both impurities lead to relatively high corrosion rates [3].

Recent research by Brown et al. has investigated the influence of NO and NO₂ on corrosion [42]. Their experiments involved different concentrations of O₂, NO, and NO₂ at a pressure of 100 bar and temperatures of 50°C. The corrosion rates were found to be highest in the presence of NO and NO₂. Specifically, tests conducted with 200 ppmv NO₂ and 500 ppmv O₂ showed a general corrosion rate of 0.275 mm/year, even with a water content of only 500 ppmv [29].

During the experiments, a notable trend was observed: corrosion rates decreased significantly with increasing test duration from 1 to 7 days. It is hypothesized that this reduction in corrosion rate is primarily due to the rapid consumption of impurities within the system, rather than the formation of a protective corrosion product. This hypothesis is supported by evidence indicating that the presence of NO₂ leads to the formation of a brown/orange-coloured dusty and porous film, which can be easily removed from the steel surface [29].

In the experiments conducted with a water content of 500 ppmv, all the specimens exhibited some degree of corrosion. The highest corrosion rate, exceeding 0.2 mm/year, was observed in the experiments involving NO₂ and NO. The corrosion rate was effectively reduced by reducing the water content from 500 to 50 ppmv, and minimal corrosion occurred only in the presence of NO₂ [42].

These findings support the principle of managing corrosion by controlling water content. However, it is premature to establish a definitive safe operational limit due to several factors. Firstly, only a limited number of impurity combinations were tested. Secondly, the experiments did not involve replenishing consumed impurities, and finally, the test duration was relatively short. Therefore, for designs expected to have impurities present even with water contents above 50 ppmv, it is advisable to conduct further experiments to ensure corrosion rates remain within acceptable limits [42].

Table 12 provides a summary of the key experimental results that have been obtained from experimental studies on general corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-NO_x-O₂ system.

Table 12. Summary of the experimental results obtained by scholars who investigated uniform corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-NO_x-O₂ systems.

Authors and Reference	CO ₂ Mixture Pressure [bar]	O ₂ Content [ppm mole Unless Stated]	NO ₂ Content [ppm mole Unless Stated]	NO Content [ppm mole Unless Stated]	Water Content [ppm mole Unless Stated]	Temperature [°C]	Flow Rate [rpm Unless Stated]	Exposure Time [hrs Unless Stated]	Corrosion Test Method	Uniform Corrosion Rate [mm/yr]
Brown et al. [42]	100	0	50	0	500	50	3 rpm, which corresponds to an average flow speed of 0.2 m/s.	24	Rotating Autoclaves	0.127
		500	50	0						0.116
		0	100	0				168		0.020
		500	100	0				24		0.182
		0	200	0						0.205
		0	200	0				72		0.088

		0	200	0				168		0.025
		500	200	0				24		0.275
		500	200	0				168		0.090
		0	0	200						0.013
		500	0	200						0.030
		500	100	0	0.005					
		10,000	100	0	No attack					
			50							

6.2 CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-O₂ MIXTURES

As per DNV-RP-F104, the presence of O₂ in the CO₂ system can contribute to the formation of elemental sulphur and sulfuric/nitric acid when SO_x are present. Laboratory tests conducted on a small scale have indicated that at very low water contents (50 ppmv), NO_x exhibits greater corrosiveness compared to SO₂ in CO₂ streams. However, when the water content rises to 500 ppmv, both NO_x and SO₂ result in relatively high corrosion rates [3].

In reference to the literature regarding the corrosion of carbon steel in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-O₂ systems, Table 13 presents a consolidated summary of corrosion experiments conducted by several authors.

Multiple authors concur that exceeding a specific water content can compromise pipeline integrity due to the presence of SO₂ and SO₂/O₂ in dense-phase CO₂ systems. Some authors have observed a significant synergy between SO₂ and O₂, where the combined corrosion rate exceeds the sum of the individual corrosion rates caused by SO₂ and O₂ individually. This phenomenon is attributed to the ability of O₂ to react with SO₂ and H₂O, resulting in the formation of sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) [29].

Choi and Nešić conducted a corrosion evaluation of X65 carbon steel in a supercritical CO₂ environment with a water content of 650 ppm mole. They varied the concentrations of SO₂ and O₂ in an 80 bar/50°C system. After 24 hours of exposure, the corrosion rate of X65 in the absence of O₂ and SO₂ at 650 ppm mole water was found to be less than 0.01 mm/year. However, when the SO₂ content was increased to 0.8 bar without the presence of O₂, the corrosion rate rose significantly to 3.48 mm/year. Furthermore, with the additional introduction of 3.3 bar O₂ alongside 0.8 bar SO₂, the general corrosion rate further increased to 3.70 mm/year. These findings demonstrate that corrosion can be significantly intensified in the presence of SO₂ and SO₂/O₂ combinations, even at low water contents that are typically recommended by pipeline operators. It should be noted, though, that concentrations of SO₂ and O₂ at the levels mentioned in the study are unlikely to be encountered in typical CO₂ service conditions [29].

Two studies in the literature have specifically examined the impact of SO₂ on the critical water content required to mitigate significant corrosion in CO₂ service. These studies aim to understand the relationship between impurity concentration, water content, and corrosion rates in order to develop effective corrosion control strategies.

Xiang et al. performed experiments on X70 steel at 100 bar and 50°C for 120 hours. They introduced a partial pressure of 2 bar SO₂ and an O₂ content of 1000 ppm to supercritical CO₂, considering relative humidity values ranging from 9% to 100% (equivalent to 414 to 4600 ppm mole). The results indicated that appreciable levels of corrosion (>0.1 mm/year) began when the humidity content exceeded 60% (2760 ppm mole).

According to the research conducted by Hua et al., it is noted that reducing the water content is a more favourable approach compared to reducing the SO₂ content in order to mitigate internal pipeline corrosion during transportation. The study observed that even in the absence of SO₂, significant levels of pitting corrosion were still observed when the water content was high enough. Therefore, the research highlights the importance of controlling and reducing the water content as an effective measure to minimize internal pipeline corrosion.




Figure 12. Effects of O₂, SO₂, and their mixtures on the corrosion rates of carbon steel in SC CO₂ phase with different amounts of water [30].

Table 13. Summary of the experimental results obtained by scholars who investigated uniform corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-O₂ systems.

Authors and Reference	CO ₂ Mixture Pressure [bar]	O ₂ Content [bar Unless Stated]	SO ₂ Content [bar Unless Stated]	Water Content [ppm mole Unless Stated]	Temperature [°C]	Flow Rate [rpm Unless States]	Exposure Time [hrs Unless Stated]	Corrosion Test Method	Uniform Corrosion Rate [mm/yr]
Choi, Nešić, & Young [33]	80	3.3	0.8	0	50	Stagnant Conditions	24	Static Autoclave	No attack
		0	0	Water-saturated CO ₂ (10 g water added to autoclave)					~0.4
		3.3	0						~1.0
		0	0.8 (1 mol%)						~5.6
		3.3	0.8 (1 mol%)						~7.0
Choi & Nešić [35]	80	0	0	650	50	Stagnant Conditions	24	Static Autoclave	< 0.01
		0	0.8 (1 mol%)						3.48
		3.3	0.8 (1 mol%)						3.70
Xiang et al. [56]	100	1000 ppm	0.2 (0.2 mol%)	Water-saturated CO ₂ (6 g water added to autoclave to ensure saturation)	50	120 rpm	288	Rotating Autoclaves	0.2
			0.7 (0.7 mol%)						0.7
			1.4 (1.4 mol%)						0.85
			2 (2 mol%)						0.9
							24		2.0

			2 (2 mol%)	Water-saturated CO ₂ (3 g water added to autoclave to ensure saturation)			72		1.8
				120			1.4		
				192			0.7		
			2 (2 mol%)	414			120		~0
				2300					~0.04
				2760					~0.08
				3220					~0.35
				4048					~0.9
				Water-saturated CO ₂ (~4600 ppm mole)					~1.5
Hua et al. [49]	80	0	0	Water-saturated CO ₂ (3 g water added to autoclave to ensure saturation, approximately 3437 ppm mole)	35	Stagnant Conditions	48	Static Autoclaves	0.10
		20 ppm mole	20 ppm mole						0.12
		20 ppm mole	50 ppm mole						0.37
		20 ppm mole	100 ppm mole						0.72
Hua et al. [37]	80	0	0	310	35	Stagnant Conditions	48	Static Autoclaves	0.003
				1185					0.005
				1770					0.009
				3400					0.027
				Water-saturated CO ₂ (3 g water added to autoclave					0.100
		20 ppm mole	50 ppm mole	310	35		48		0.003
				1185					0.006
				1770					0.009
				3400					0.028
				Water-saturated CO ₂ (3 g water added to autoclave					0.368
		20 ppm mole	50 ppm mole	310	35		48		0.003
				1185					0.004
				1770					0.039
				3400					0.067
				Water-saturated CO ₂ (3 g water					0.716

				added to autoclave					
--	--	--	--	-----------------------	--	--	--	--	--

6.3 CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-NO₂ MIXTURES

According to ISO/TR 27921, the presence of SO₂ in combination with NO_x can lead to the deposition of elemental sulphur as in the corrosion of components and pipes. This deposition can potentially alter the phase equilibria between the CO₂ stream and the newly formed phases. Interaction between SO₂, NO_x, and the resulting phase changes is important to consider due to its potential impact on corrosion and the overall integrity of the system [11].

There is limited number of papers presenting data and discussing the effect of combined impurities on corrosion. When both, NO₂ and SO₂ are present, NO₂ catalyses the oxidation of SO₂ to form sulphuric acid. A synergistic corrosive effect of SO₂ and NO₂ dependent on relative humidity is observed in atmospheric corrosion. It is assumed that NO₂ increases the rate of SO₂ oxidation to sulphate and acts as oxygen carrying agent [21].

The available literature on the combined effect of impurities on corrosion is limited. However, it is recognized that when both NO₂ and SO₂ are present, NO₂ can act as a catalyst for the oxidation of SO₂, leading to the formation of sulfuric acid. This synergistic corrosive effect is known to be dependent on the relative humidity levels.

The effect of NO₂ is indirect given that nitrogen compounds have not been detected among the corrosion products and its influence is related with the increase in the rate of SO₂ oxidation to sulphate [51]. In atmospheric corrosion, it is believed that NO₂ facilitates the oxidation of SO₂ to sulphate and serves as an oxygen carrier in the process. Although further research is needed to fully understand the mechanisms involved, the presence of both NO₂ and SO₂ together has been shown to have a notable influence on corrosion behavior [21].

Corvo et al. have highlighted that the simultaneous presence of SO₂ and NO₂ can exhibit a synergistic effect on corrosion and material degradation, especially under atmospheric conditions. The extent of this effect depends on the relative humidity. It is worth noting that corrosion products containing nitrogen are rarely observed under atmospheric corrosion conditions. According to Corvo et al., the primary role of NO₂ is to catalyse degradation reactions. However, it has not been definitively demonstrated that this behaviour occurs under dense-phase CO₂ conditions. Further research is needed to establish the extent of these effects in CO₂ environments [29].

Table 14 provides a summary of the key experimental results that have been obtained from an experimental study on general corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-NO₂ system.

Table 14. Summary of the experimental results obtained by scholars who investigated uniform corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-NO₂ systems.

Authors and Reference	CO ₂ Mixture Pressure [bar]	NO ₂ /NO Content [ppmv]	SO ₂ Content [ppmv]	Water Content [ppm mole]	Temperature [°C]	Flow Rate [rpm Unless stated]	Exposure Time [hrs]	Corrosion Test Method	Uniform Corrosion Rate [mm/yr]
Paschke et al. [50]	110	100 ppmv NO	100	1000	60	Stagnant Conditions	168	Static Autoclaves	0.009

6.4 CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-H₂S-O₂ MIXTURES

According to DNV-RP-F104, when H₂S reacts with O₂, it can produce elemental sulphur, which has the potential to accumulate within the system and negatively impact the permeability of formations by clogging the pore system. Additionally, if elemental sulphur comes into contact with free water, it can lead to the corrosion of steel [3].

DNV-RP-F104 also highlights that the mechanisms underlying the H₂S-O₂ reaction, including the minimum required concentrations of H₂S and O₂, the reaction rates, and the trigger mechanism, are still poorly understood. Additionally, there is a lack of literature data specifically for dense phase CO₂ systems. To define safe limits for H₂S and O₂ with confidence, it is crucial to gain a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms that catalyse sulphur formation and quantify their effects under pipeline conditions [3].

The interactions among O₂, H₂S, and SO₂ in a water-saturated supercritical CO₂ system have been found to have significant effects on the corrosion behavior of X65 pipeline steel. The addition of multiple impurities, such as O₂, H₂S, or SO₂, accelerates the corrosion rate of X65 steel compared to systems with individual impurities. In particular, when multiple impurities are present, the corrosion impact factor is higher than the sum of the impact factors of corresponding single impurities, indicating a synergistic effect that intensifies the corrosion process [55].

The interaction between O₂ and H₂S leads to the precipitation of a water phase and the formation of elemental sulphur, providing additional electrolytes for corrosion and elemental sulphur corrosion. The interaction between O₂ and SO₂ promotes the formation of sulfuric acid, significantly increasing the corrosivity of water condensed on the steel surface. The interaction between H₂S and SO₂ leads to the formation of a water phase and elemental sulphur, which further results in the formation of sulfuric acid through the hydrolysis of elemental sulphur [55].

Overall, the complex synergistic effects among O₂, H₂S, and SO₂ contribute to the highest synergistic interaction impact factor, which corresponds to the highest corrosion rate observed in the system. These findings highlight the importance of considering the combined presence of multiple impurities when assessing the corrosion risk in water-saturated supercritical CO₂ environments [55].




Figure 12. Corrosion rate of X65 steel exposed to water-saturated supercritical CO₂ containing various impurities for 120 h at 100 bar and 50°C.

Table 15 provides a summary of the key experimental results that have been obtained from an experimental study on general corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-H₂S-O₂ system.

Table 15. Summary of the experimental results obtained by Sun et al. who investigated uniform corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-H₂S-O₂ systems.

Authors and Reference	CO ₂ Mixture Pressure [bar]	O ₂ Content [ppmv]	SO ₂ Content [ppmv]	H ₂ S Content [ppmv]	Water Content [ppmv]	Temperature [°C]	Flow Rate [rpm Unless Stated]	Uniform Corrosion Rate [mm/yr]	Corrosion Test Method	Exposure Time [hrs]
Sun et al. [55]	100	0	0	0	Water-Saturated	50	Stagnant Conditions	0.015	Static Autoclaves	120
		1000	0	0				0.034		
		0	1000	0				0.127		

		0	0	1000				0.469		
		1000	1000	0				0.221		
		1000	0	1000				1.034		
		0	1000	1000				1.224		
		1000	1000	1000				1.439		

6.5 CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-NO₂-H₂S-O₂ MIXTURES

As stated in ISO/TR 27921, the presence of multiple impurities such as H₂O, SO_x, NO_x, O₂, and H₂S in the CO₂ stream can lead to various cross-chemical reactions. These reactions have the potential to produce sulphuric/sulphurous acid, nitric acid, and elemental sulphur, which can form separate phases and contribute to corrosion. The formation and condensation of these acidic phases can occur even at low water contents, such as less than 100 ppmv. Therefore, the extent of acid formation and condensation, as well as the composition of the resulting condensates, strongly depend on the composition and temperature of the CO₂ stream. Consequently, the maximum acceptable concentration of any impurity is project-specific and influenced by the concentrations of other impurities, considering their potential interactions and chemical cross-reactions.

Limited investigations have been conducted on the possible corrosion and bulk phase reactions when CO₂ contains flue gas impurities such as SO_x, NO_x, O₂, in addition to H₂O and H₂S.

One study by Dugstad et al. examined dense phase CO₂ with 300 ppmv H₂O, 350 ppmv O₂, 100 ppmv SO₂, 100 ppmv NO₂, and 100 ppmv H₂S. The experiments revealed corrosion of carbon steel and the formation of elemental sulphur along with a liquid phase containing sulfuric and nitric acid. The corrosion rate of the carbon steel specimens was approximately 0.04 mm/year.

However, due to the limited number of experiments conducted by Dugstad et al., it is premature to draw definitive conclusions regarding the reaction mechanisms. Tentative mechanisms have been proposed based on observed changes in impurity concentrations when impurity injection was initiated or stopped in the experiments.

When H₂S, O₂, and SO₂ were simultaneously injected, no rapid cross chemical reactions were observed. However, the introduction of NO₂ resulted in an immediate increase in H₂O, SO₂, and NO concentrations for a short period, while NO₂ and H₂S concentrations decreased. A few hours after the start of NO₂ injection, a decrease in SO₂ and NO concentrations was measured. The presence of both sulfuric and nitric acid was confirmed through ion chromatography after the exposure, suggesting that acid formation commenced shortly after the introduction of NO₂.

Table 16 provides the key experimental results that have been obtained from the experimental study conducted by Dugstad et al. on general corrosion rates in supercritical CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-NO₂-H₂S-O₂ system.

Table 16. Summary of the experimental uniform corrosion rate results obtained for supercritical CO₂-H₂O-SO₂-NO₂-H₂S-O₂ systems [41].

CO ₂ Mixture Pressure [bar]	O ₂ Content [ppmv]	NO ₂ Content [ppmv]	SO ₂ Content [ppmv]	H ₂ S Content [ppmv]	Water Content [ppmv]	Temperature [°C]	Flow Rate [rpm Unless Stated]	Exposure Time [hrs]	Corrosion Test Method	Uniform Corrosion Rate [mm/yr]
100	350	100	100	100	300	45	3 rpm, which corresponds to an average flow speed of 0.2 m/s.	74	Rotating Autoclaves	<0.1
								133		0.04

7. EXISTING MODELS

7.1 THERMODYNAMIC MODELS

Having a strong comprehension of the thermodynamics of CO₂ fluids with impurities and selecting the appropriate equation of state (EoS) that aligns with the chemical properties of the impurities are crucial aspects [68]. When impurities are present in a CO₂ stream, the phase behavior of CO₂ is altered compared to pure CO₂.

Equations of state (EoSs) are utilized in the design and operation of CCS processes through process simulation software or other design programs. Typically, these EoSs incorporate binary interaction parameters that are fitted based on experimental data. Since no single EoS can adequately cover the wide range of components and conditions necessary for CCS, various types of EoSs have been proposed. Each EoS demonstrates satisfactory performance for certain systems but may exhibit limitations for others [67].

Modelling the phase behavior of mixtures containing CO₂ and associating/polar components can be challenging. Multiparameter equations of state, such as GERG-2008, demonstrate good predictive capabilities for calculating thermophysical properties, particularly in single-phase conditions. However, these equations of state have limitations and do not support polar and associating components. For such components, the Cubic-Plus-Association (CPA) equation of state appears to be the most suitable for modelling the complex interactions of polar and associating components [68].

The CPA equation of state is considered a reliable modelling tool in oil and gas applications when polar components are present. In the context of CCS applications involving CO₂-rich fluids, it has been demonstrated that the CPA equation of state performs well when compared to experimental data, even for mixtures containing water and other polar components [68].

While there is a considerable amount of experimental data available for CO₂-CH₄ and CO₂-N₂ binary systems, there is a scarcity of data for CO₂-SO₂, CO₂-NO, and CO₂-O₂ systems due to their toxic and explosive nature [67].

Austegard et al. [58] conducted research on mutual solubilities in H₂O-CO₂-CH₄ mixtures, employing three distinct models. They gathered experimental data for binary mixtures and assessed their quality and consistency before proceeding to evaluate binary interaction parameters through regression analysis. The study concluded that among the three models examined, the SRK-HV model proved to be the most valuable. This model yielded favourable outcomes and possessed a comparatively simpler structure when compared to the CPA model.

Nevertheless, ongoing efforts are being made to generate such experimental data. Recent reports have focused on CO₂ phase equilibria in binary and ternary systems containing impurities like SO₂, NO, O₂, and Ar. These studies have combined experimental observations with thermodynamic modelling to investigate the phase envelopes of CO₂-impurity mixtures, particularly in the CO₂-rich phase [67].

Deviations from the EoS models are represented by average absolute deviations (AAD). Complete comparisons of binary systems are summarized in Figure 13. Each coloured bar represents a type of deviation of calculated values from experimental data. For instance, in the case of the CO₂-N₂ system, the GERG-2008 EoS shows the most accurate results at the given range of temperatures, with AAD_{xAvg} of 2.98% and AAD_{yAvg} of 1.67%. The NLFHB EoS gives satisfactory results for liquid compositions, but vapor compositions near critical points are inaccurate [67].




Figure 13. Comparisons of experimental data with calculated values using equation of state models for liquid (left) and vapor (right) compositions of CO₂-impurity binary mixture [67].

A study examines the corrosion of carbon steel in wet CO₂ under high pressure conditions. The results indicate that although corrosion rates are significant, they are considerably lower than what would be expected based on models developed for CO₂ corrosion at low pressure. Moreover, the corrosion rates decrease as the pressure exceeds 20 bar. At moderate pressures, the formation of carbonate films on the surface partially accounts for this phenomenon. However, at high pressure, it appears that another corrosion mechanism is at play. Further research is required to fully understand this corrosion mechanism. This preliminary investigation discusses the limitations of existing CO₂ corrosion models, which were primarily designed for gas production systems, and highlights their inapplicability to corrosion in supercritical CO₂ pipelines [69].

7.2 CORROSION RATE MODELS

Models for CO₂ corrosion have been developed in the past, taking the form of semi-empirical correlations or mechanistic models describing the different processes involved in CO₂ corrosion of carbon steel [70]. They would provide a deeper understanding of the complex corrosion processes occurring in these challenging conditions. Currently, the existing models in this area are limited in their scope and do not adequately capture the intricacies of corrosion in dense CO₂ with impurities.

The work conducted by Zheng et al. [70] presents a valuable mechanistic prediction model for CO₂/H₂S corrosion, serving as a useful reference for studying supercritical CO₂ corrosion in the presence of H₂S and other impurities.

The researchers investigated the electrochemistry of mild steel corrosion in a mixed H₂S/CO₂ aqueous environment and developed an electrochemical model to simulate the experimental findings. The experiments were designed to examine the impact of H₂S on CO₂ corrosion during short-term exposures before the occurrence of any interference from iron sulphide corrosion product layers.

An electrochemical model was formulated specifically for the mixed H₂S/CO₂ system, and it was calibrated using new experimental data. The model's predictions were compared to existing data from the open literature. The model exhibited good agreement with experimental data for short exposures lasting a few hours. However, it overestimated the experimental results for longer-term exposures spanning days and weeks. This discrepancy can be attributed to the formation of an iron sulphide corrosion product layer, which the current model does not account for.

Xiang et al. developed a mechanistic model that specifically addresses the prediction of carbon steel corrosion in dense CO₂ phase with SO₂-O₂-H₂O impurities. They integrate the traditional CO₂ corrosion models and the atmospheric corrosion model. The schematic diagram of the six-region model shows in Figure 14 [71].




Figure 13. Schematic diagram of the SIWDES six-region model [71].

The accuracy of this model seems to be high, especially for cases with high SO_2 concentration and relative humidity (RH). However, for cases with extremely low SO_2 concentration, the accuracy of this model seems to be low. These results suggested that the effect of dissolved CO_2 should be considered for conditions with low SO_2 concentrations. For low-RH conditions, the results of this model were also not satisfactory [57].

Further studies and research are necessary to enhance our understanding and develop more accurate mechanistic models that can effectively predict carbon steel corrosion in dense CO_2 environments with various impurities. This would greatly benefit industries dealing with supercritical CO_2 applications, enabling better corrosion control strategies and ensuring the integrity and longevity of carbon steel infrastructure.

8. RESEARCH GAPS

8.1 IMPURITY H_2O RESEARCH GAPS

The unique properties of supercritical CO_2 , including its high solvency power, introduce significant uncertainties when extrapolating the current understanding of CO_2 -water corrosion behavior to pipelines that transport supercritical CO_2 [64].

To address these challenges, the ultimate objective should be to determine conditions where the formation of free water is unlikely. Achieving this goal will require a fundamental approach that involves understanding the thermodynamics of the corrosion mechanism between CO_2 and impurities, along with meticulous experimentation [64].

8.2 IMPURITY O_2 RESEARCH GAPS

The presence of varying amounts of O_2 in dense CO_2 can result in different corrosion rates and corrosion patterns. It is important to note that when multiple impurities are present, the overall corrosion rate may not increase with an increase in O_2 concentration, as the localised corrosion rate may have been overlooked or disregarded. These contradictory findings suggest the need for further corrosion experiments that specifically investigate the effects of different O_2 concentrations in dense CO_2 . Such research is necessary to uncover the complex influences of O_2 on corrosion behaviours [57].

Additionally, more extensive studies are required to elucidate the impact of different O_2 concentrations on the corrosion behaviour of steel in supercritical CO_2 environments containing water. It is crucial to identify the underlying mechanisms driving these effects to enhance our understanding of corrosion phenomena in these challenging conditions [57].

8.3 IMPURITY NO_x RESEARCH GAPS

Further research is necessary to explore the permissible concentrations of NO and NO₂ in CCS transportation systems and to develop effective strategies for mitigating their corrosive effects. This could involve investigating the concentrations of these gases in CO₂ emissions from various industrial processes and examining specific methods for monitoring and reducing their corrosion-inducing properties. By conducting such studies, it would be possible to gain a better understanding of the extent of their impact and implement appropriate measures to control and minimize corrosion risks.

8.4 IMPURITY SULPHUR RESEARCH GAPS

The studies available do not specifically address the behaviour of sulphur impurities under supercritical CO₂ conditions. Furthermore, they lack detailed information on the experimental techniques employed to investigate the sulphur impurities. Crucial aspects such as specimen preparation, exposure conditions, analytical methods for corrosion rate and sulphur impurity measurement, and result interpretation are not adequately discussed in the sources.

Therefore, further research is required to determine the most appropriate experimental techniques for studying the impact of sulphur impurities on CO₂ corrosion in the presence of flue gas impurities. This may involve developing novel experimental setups or modifying existing ones to simulate relevant conditions, including temperature, pressure, and gas composition, while accurately measuring the content of sulphur impurities and their corrosion effects. Additionally, advanced analytical techniques may be necessary to detect and quantify trace amounts of sulphur impurities in corrosion products and gain insights into their role in corrosion mechanisms.

8.5 IMPURITY MERCURY RESEARCH GAPS

The specific mechanisms and conditions that lead to mercury-induced corrosion remain insufficiently understood. Although it is recognized that mercury can react with steel surfaces and form amalgams, there may be variations in the properties of these amalgams depending on factors such as the concentration and chemical composition of the surrounding environment. Moreover, the interactions between mercury and other contaminants present in the environment, such as oxygen or sulphur compounds, may also influence the corrosion behavior of carbon steel.

To enhance the understanding of these mechanisms and their interactions, further research is necessary. This research could involve investigating the specific conditions under which mercury-induced corrosion occurs, exploring the properties of different types of amalgams formed, and examining the effects of environmental factors like the presence of oxygen or sulphur compounds. By gaining a better understanding of these complex interactions, more accurate models can be developed for predicting and mitigating mercury-induced corrosion in CO₂ and H₂S environments.

8.6 FLOW RATE RESEARCH GAPS

A limited number of existing studies have conducted supercritical CO₂ corrosion tests in flow loops, with these tests generally considered to provide more reliable results compared to tests performed in sealed autoclaves. It is widely acknowledged that further research is necessary to investigate corrosion under different flow conditions in flow loops, particularly for multiphase flow situations in CO₂ pipelines that encompass various flow regimes. These studies are crucial to understanding the corrosion behavior in CO₂ pipelines, especially in worst-case scenarios involving gas-liquid flows [57]. By conducting experiments in flow loops that accurately simulate real-world conditions, we can gain valuable insights into corrosion mechanisms and develop effective corrosion mitigation strategies.

8.7 CO₂ CORROSION PREDICTION MODELS GAPS

The majority of CO₂ corrosion prediction models are typically applicable within a CO₂ partial pressure range of 0 – 20 bar. However, when these models are applied to higher CO₂ partial pressure conditions, the predicted corrosion rates often exceed the experimental values by nearly an order of magnitude. This discrepancy can be attributed to the distinct corrosion characteristics observed under high-pressure conditions [57].

The behavior of CO₂ corrosion under elevated pressures is more complex and can deviate from the assumptions and mechanisms accounted for in the existing models. Factors such as changes in the corrosion kinetics, alterations in the transport properties of the CO₂, and variations in the composition and morphology of the corrosion products may contribute to the disparity between predicted and observed corrosion rates. Consequently, additional research is needed to enhance our understanding of the corrosion mechanisms specific to high-pressure CO₂ environments and to develop more accurate prediction models that encompass these conditions.

8.8 IMPURITY H₂S CORROSION PREDICTION MODELS KNOWLEDGE GAPS

There are knowledge gaps regarding the specific conditions under which the electrochemical model of mild steel corrosion in a mixed H₂S/CO₂ aqueous environment is applicable. It is unclear whether this model can be applied to all concentrations or ratios of H₂S and CO₂, and whether other factors such as temperature, pressure, or the presence of additional contaminants can influence the corrosion process. Further research is necessary to clarify these conditions and refine the electrochemical model accordingly.

In addition to addressing the applicability of the electrochemical model, further studies could focus on evaluating the effectiveness of different corrosion mitigation strategies in this specific environment. Understanding how various mitigation techniques perform in the presence of mixed H₂S and CO₂, and identifying any potential synergistic or antagonistic effects, would be valuable for developing effective corrosion management strategies.

By conducting comprehensive research that considers various parameters and conditions, we can advance our understanding of the corrosion behavior in mixed H₂S/CO₂ aqueous environments and enhance the accuracy of prediction models, as well as develop targeted mitigation strategies for corrosion prevention and control.

9. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 CONCLUSION

The handling of anthropogenic CO₂ presents challenges due to limited industry experience and the absence of a consensus on CO₂ stream composition. The presence of impurities, even at low concentrations, has been found to induce corrosion in carbon steel pipelines. The lack of corrosion data from laboratory experiments and field studies further impedes accurate corrosion rate predictions. To overcome these challenges, comprehensive research is necessary to study the reaction processes, formation of corrosive phases, and their impact on material degradation. Defining a safe operating window for CO₂ transport and developing effective corrosion management strategies are crucial for ensuring the integrity and reliability of carbon steel pipelines.

This Report has thoroughly reviewed the current knowledge and recommended practices for managing internal corrosion in carbon steel pipelines transporting dense phase CO₂. The findings highlight the importance of understanding the synergistic effects of impurities and their role in accelerating corrosion rates. By considering these synergistic effects and implementing best current practices, operators can mitigate the risk of high corrosion rates and safeguard the integrity of the pipelines.

However, there is a clear need for further research to enhance our understanding of corrosion in CO₂ pipelines. Additional laboratory experiments and field studies are essential to generate more data on corrosion rates and validate corrosion models. Standardisation of CO₂ stream composition and ongoing collaboration among researchers, pipeline operators, and stakeholders are vital for advancing our understanding of corrosion mechanisms and developing targeted corrosion management strategies.

Continuous improvement in corrosion management practices is also critical. Operators should regularly assess and update their corrosion management strategies based on evolving knowledge and advancements in materials science and corrosion engineering. By implementing best practices, continuously monitoring pipelines, and incorporating innovative technologies, operators can proactively address corrosion issues and ensure the safe and reliable transportation of CO₂.

In conclusion, by investing in research, collaboration, and ongoing improvement of corrosion management strategies, the industry can overcome the challenges associated with managing internal corrosion in carbon steel pipelines transporting dense phase CO₂. This will enable the industry to develop robust and effective corrosion mitigation measures, enhance the safety and reliability of CO₂ transportation, and contribute to the successful implementation of carbon capture, utilization, and storage initiatives.

9.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this report, the following recommendations are provided:

4. **Implement Best Current Practices:** Operators should adopt and adhere to the best current practices for managing internal corrosion in carbon steel pipelines transporting dense phase CO₂. These practices should take into account the combined presence of impurities, such as O₂, H₂S, SO₂, and NO_x, and their potential synergistic effects. By following these practices, operators can minimize the risk of corrosion and ensure the long-term integrity of the pipelines.
5. **Investigate Conditions with Limited Knowledge:** There are certain conditions, such as specific combinations of impurities, varying concentrations, and specific operating conditions, where knowledge of corrosion rates is limited. It is recommended to conduct further investigation and research in these areas to enhance the understanding of corrosion mechanisms under such conditions. This knowledge will enable the development of targeted mitigation measures and more accurate corrosion predictions.
6. **Expand Corrosion Mechanisms and Models:** To effectively manage internal corrosion in carbon steel pipelines transporting dense phase CO₂, it is crucial to expand the understanding of corrosion mechanisms. Further research should focus on investigating the underlying mechanisms behind the synergistic effects of impurities and their interaction with the pipeline materials. Additionally, the development of new corrosion models will enable more reliable assessments and predictions of corrosion rates, leading to improved corrosion management strategies.

By implementing these recommendations, operators can enhance their corrosion management strategies, reduce the risk of corrosion-related incidents, and ensure the safe and reliable operation of carbon steel pipelines transporting dense phase CO₂. Continuous monitoring, regular inspection, and periodic maintenance should also be integrated into the overall corrosion management program to ensure the long-term integrity of the pipelines.

10. REFERENCES

- [1] Eldevik, F., Graver, B., Torbergsen, L. E., Saugerud, O. T., Development of a Guideline for Safe, Reliable and Cost Efficient Transmission of CO₂ in Pipelines, Elsevier, Høvik, 2009.
- [2] Onyebuchi, V. E., et. al, A systematic review of key challenges of CO₂ transport via pipelines, Elsevier, Bedford, January 2018.
- [3] Det Norske Veritas, Recommended Practice DNV-RP-F104 – Design and operation of carbon dioxide pipelines, September 2021.
- [4] Witkowski, A., Rusin, A., Majkut, M., Stolecka, K., THE ANALYSIS OF PIPELINE TRANSPORTATION PROCESS FOR CO₂ CAPTURED FROM REFERENCE COAL-FIRED 900 MW POWER PLANT TO SEQUESTRATION REGION, de Gruyter, Gliwice, 2014.
- [5] Peletiri, P. S., Rahmanian, N., Mujtaba, I. M., Effects of Impurities on CO₂ Pipeline Performance, AIDIC, Bradford, 2017.
- [6] Liu, X., Godbole, A. R., Lu, C., Michal, G., Venton, P. Study of the consequences of CO₂ released from high-pressure pipelines, Elsevier, Wollongong, 2015.
- [7] Forbes, S. M., Verma, P., Curry, T. E., Friedmann, S. J., Wade, S. M., Guidelines for Carbon Dioxide Capture, Transport, and Storage, World Resources Institute, Washington, DC, 2008.
- [8] Gallon, N., Sandana, D., Robson, P., CO₂ PIPELINES – BACK TO THE FUTURE?, Technology for Future and Ageing Pipelines, Newcastle upon Tyne, March 2022.
- [9] de Visser, E., Hendriks, C., DYNAMIS CO₂ quality recommendations, Ecofys Netherlands bv, June 2007.
- [10] IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme, The Carbon Capture Project at Air Products' Port Arthur Hydrogen Production Facility, IEAGHG, December 2018
- [11] International Standards Organization, ISO/TR 27921: Carbon dioxide capture, transportation, and geological storage – Cross Cutting Issues – CO₂ stream composition, May 2020.
- [12] National Energy Technology Laboratory, Quality Guidelines for Energy System Studies – CO₂ Impurity Design Parameters, US Department of Energy, January 2019.
- [13] Porter, R. T., Fairweather, M., Pourkashanian, M., Woolley, R. M., The Range and Level of Impurities in CO₂ Streams from Different Carbon Capture Sources, Elsevier, May 2015.
- [14] Harkin, T., Filby, I., Sick, H., Manderson, D., Ashton, R., Development of a CO₂ specification for a CCS hub network, Elsevier, Melbourne, July 2017.
- [15] ARAMIS, CCS-ARAMIS Project, Specification for CO₂ supplied to Aramis via, <https://www.aramis-ccs.com/files/ARM-CPT-BB8-PRO-MEM-0033-rev-6.2-public-version-NEW.pdf> (Accessed 26 July 2023).
- [16] International Standards Organization, ISO 27913: Carbon dioxide capture, transportation and geological storage – Pipeline transportation systems, November 2016.
- [17] Choi, Y-S., Nešić, S., CORROSION BEHAVIOR OF CARBON STEEL IN SUPERCRITICAL CO₂ – WATER ENVIRONMENTS, OnePetro, Athens, March 2009.
- [18] Nordsveen, M., Nešić, S., Nyborg, R., Stangeland, A., A Mechanistic Model for Carbon Dioxide Corrosion of Mild Steel in the Presence of Protective Iron Carbonate Films - Part 1: Theory and Verification, NACE International, December 2002.
- [19] Spycher, N., Pruess, K., Ennis-King, J., CO₂-H₂O Mixtures in the Geological Sequestration of CO₂. I. Assessment and Calculation of Mutual Solubilities from 12 to 100 °C and up to 600 bar, Elsevier, July 2002.
- [20] Morland, B. H., Svenningsen, G., Dugstad, A., The Challenge of Monitoring Impurity Content of CO₂ Streams, MDPI, Basel, March 2021.
- [21] Halseid, M., Dugstad, A., Morland, B., Corrosion and bulk phase reactions in CO₂ transport pipelines with impurities: review of recent published studies, Elsevier, Norway, 2014.
- [22] Dugstad, A., Morland, B., Clausen, S., Corrosion of transport pipelines for CO₂ – effect of water ingress, Elsevier, Norway, 2011.
- [23] Hua, Y., Barker, R., Neville, A., Effect of temperature on the critical water content for general and localised corrosion of X65 carbon steel in the transport of supercritical CO₂, Elsevier, Leeds, October 2014.
- [24] Choi, Y-S., Nešić, S., Determining the corrosive potential of CO₂ transport pipeline in high pCO₂-water environments, Elsevier, Athens, December 2010.
- [25] Sim, S., Bocher, F., Cole, I. S., Chen, X-B., Birbilis, N., Investigating the Effect of Water Content in Supercritical CO₂ as Relevant to the Corrosion of Carbon Capture and Storage Pipelines, Association for Materials Protection and Performance (AMPP), August 2013.
- [26] Ayello, F., Evans, K., Thodla, R., Sridhar, N., Effect of Impurities on Corrosion of Steel in Supercritical CO₂, NACE International, Dublin, January 2010.
- [27] Zhang, Y., Gao, K., Schmitt, G., Water Effect On Steel Under Supercritical CO₂ Condition, OnePetro, March 2011.
- [28] Cabrini, M., Lorenzi, S., Pastore, T., Radaelli, M., Corrosion rate of high CO₂ pressure pipeline steel for carbon capture transport and storage, Bergamo, June 2014
- [29] Barker, R., Hua, Y., Neville, A., Internal corrosion of carbon steel pipelines for dense-phase CO₂ transport in carbon capture and storage (CCS) – a review, Taylor & Francis Online, Leeds, April 2016.
- [30] Wei, L., Zhang, Y., Pang, X., Gao, K., Corrosion behaviors of steels under supercritical CO₂ conditions, Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Beijing, July 2015.

- [31] Choi, Y.-S., Young, D., Nešić, S., Gray, L. G. S., Wellbore integrity and corrosion of carbon steel in CO₂ geologic storage environments: A literature review, Elsevier, January 2013.
- [32] Sun, W., Nešić, S., Woollam, R. C., The effect of temperature and ionic strength on iron carbonate (FeCO₃) solubility limit, Elsevier, March 2009.
- [33] Choi, Y.-S., Nešić, S., Young, D., Effect of Impurities on the Corrosion Behavior of CO₂ Transmission Pipeline Steel in Supercritical CO₂-Water Environments, ACS Publications, Athens, October 2010.
- [34] Choi, Y.-S., Nešić, S., Effect of impurities on the corrosion behaviour of carbon steel in supercritical CO₂ – water environments, OnePetro, Athens, March 2010.
- [35] Choi, Y.-S., Nešić, S., Effect of Water Content on the Corrosion Behavior of Carbon Steel in Supercritical CO₂ Phase with Impurities, NACE International, Houston, March 2011.
- [36] Seiersten, M., MATERIAL SELECTION FOR SEPARATION, TRANSPORTATION AND DISPOSAL OF CO₂, OnePetro, Kjeller, March 2001.
- [37] Hua, Y., Barker, R., and Neville, A., The influence of SO₂ on the tolerable water content to avoid pipeline corrosion during the transportation of supercritical CO₂, Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control, 2015.
- [38] Zhang, Y., Pang, X., Qu., Shaopeng, Li., X., Gao, K., The relationship between fracture toughness of CO₂ corrosion scale and corrosion rate of X65 pipeline steel under supercritical CO₂ condition, Elsevier, Beijing, October 2011.
- [39] Standards Norway, NORSOK Standard M-506 – CO₂ Corrosion Rate Calculation Model, June 2005.
- [40] Nešić, S., Nordsveen, M., Nyborg, R., Stangeland, A., A Mechanistic Model for CO₂ Corrosion with Protective Iron Carbonate Films, OnePetro, Houston, March 2001.
- [41] Dugstad, A., Halseid, M., Morland, B., Testing of CO₂ specifications with respect to corrosion and bulk phase reactions, Elsevier, Kjeller, 2014.
- [42] Brown, J., Graver, B., Gulbrandsen, E., Dugstad, A., Morland, B., Update of DNV recommended practice RP-J202 with focus on CO₂ Corrosion with Impurities, Elsevier, Norway, 2014.
- [43] Farelas, F., Choi, Y.-S., Nešić, S., Corrosion Behavior of API 5L X65 Carbon Steel Under Supercritical and Liquid Carbon Dioxide Phases in the Presence of Water and Sulfur Dioxide, NACE International, Athens, March 2013.
- [44] Farelas, F., Choi, Y.-S., Nešić, Effect of CO₂ phase change, SO₂ content and flow on the corrosion of CO₂ transmission pipeline steel, NACE International, Athens, March 2012.
- [45] Alami HE, Augustin C, Orlans B, Servier JJ., Carbon capture and storage projects: material integrity for CO₂ injection and storage, In: EuroCorr 2011. Paper No. 4741. Stockholm, Sweden: NACE International, 2011.
- [46] Y.-S. Choi, S. Hassani, T. N. Vu and S. Nešić., Effect of H₂S on the corrosion behaviour of pipeline steels in supercritical and liquid CO₂ environments, CORROSION 2015, Dallas, TX, NACE, 2015.
- [47] International Standards Organization, ISO 15156-1:2020: Petroleum and natural gas industries – Materials for use in H₂S-containing environments in oil and gas production – Part 1: General principles for selection of cracking-resistant materials, November 2020.
- [48] Wei L, Pang X, Gao K., Effect of small amount of H₂S on the corrosion behaviour of carbon steel in the dynamic supercritical CO₂ environments, Corros Sci, 2016.
- [49] Hua, Y., Barker, R. and Neville, A., Understanding the influence of SO₂ and O₂ on the corrosion of carbon steel in water-saturated supercritical CO₂, Corrosion, 2014.
- [50] Paschke, B. and Kather, A., Corrosion of pipeline and compressor materials due to impurities in separated CO₂ from fossil-fuelled power plants, Energy Procedia, 2012.
- [51] J.G. Castaño, D. de la Fuente, M. Morcillo, A laboratory study of the effect of NO₂ on the atmospheric corrosion of zinc, Atmospheric Environment 41, 8681– 8696, 2007.
- [52] Collier J, Shi C, Papavinasam S, Liu P, Li J, Gravel JP., Effect of impurities on the corrosion performance of steels in supercritical carbon dioxide: optimization of experimental procedure, In: Corrosion 2013. Paper No. 2357. Houston, TX, USA: NACE International, 2013.
- [53] Ruhl AS, Kranzmann A., Corrosion in supercritical CO₂ by diffusion of flue gas acids and water, J Supercrit Fluid 2012.
- [54] Dugstad A, Morland B, Clausen S., Corrosion of transport pipelines for CO₂-effect of water ingress, Energy Procedia, 2011c.
- [55] Sun C, Sun J, Wang Y, et al, Synergistic effect of O₂, H₂S and SO₂ impurities on the corrosion behavior of X65 steel in water-saturated supercritical CO₂ system, Corros Sci. 2016.
- [56] Xiang, Y., Wang, Z., Xu, C., Zhou, C., Li, Z. and Ni, W., Impact of SO₂ concentration on the corrosion rate of X70 steel and iron in water-saturated supercritical CO₂ mixed with SO₂, J. Supercrit, Fluids, 2011.
- [57] Xiang, Yong & Xu, Minghe & Choi, Yoon-Seok., State-of-the-art overview of pipeline steel corrosion in impure dense CO₂ for CCS transportation: Mechanisms and models, Corrosion Engineering, Science and Technology, 2017.

- [58] Austegard. A, Solbraa. E, De Koeijer. G, MølInvik. M.J., Thermodynamic Models for Calculating Mutual Solubilities in H₂O–CO₂–CH₄ Mixtures, Chemical Engineering Research and Design, Volume 84, Issue 9, 2006.
- [59] Kyoo Y. Song and Riki Kobayashi, The Water Content of A Carbon Dioxide-rich Gas Mixture Containing 5.31 Mol % Methane Along The Three-phase and Supercritical Conditions, Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data, 1990.
- [60] Svend Tollak Munkejord, Jana P. Jakobsen, Anders Austegard, Mona J. MølInvik, Thermo- and fluid-dynamical modeling of two-phase multicomponent carbon dioxide mixtures, Energy Procedia, 2009.
- [61] Stéphanie Foltran, Matthew E. Vosper, Norhidayah B. Suleiman, Alisdair Wriglesworth, Jie Ke, Trevor C. Drage, Martyn Poliakoff, Michael W. George, Understanding the solubility of water in carbon capture and storage mixtures: An FTIR spectroscopic study of H₂O+CO₂+N₂ ternary mixtures, International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, Volume 35, 2015.
- [62] Rohana J., Azmi M. N., Amir S. M. S., Ahmad M. A. R., Noor L. R., Noraina S. M. A., Suhor M. F., Ahmad Z. A., Hasan H. M., The effect of mercury to carbon steel in CO₂, and H₂S environment, Offshore Technology Conference Asia, OTC- 30258-MS, 27 October 2020.
- [63] IEAGHG, Effects of Impurities on Geological Storage of CO₂, 2014/04, June, 2011.
- [64] Mike B., Solomon B., Michael F., Haroun M., CO₂ pipelines material and safety considerations, IChemE, NO. 155, 2009.
- [65] International Standards Organization, ISO 15156-2:2020: Petroleum and natural gas industries – Materials for use in H₂S-containing environments in oil and gas production – Part 2: Cracking-resistant carbon and low alloy steels, and the use of cast irons, November 2020.
- [66] DNV, Hydrogen sulphide challenges in carbon dioxide pipelines: CO₂ Safe and Sour, March 2022.
- [67] Shin, B.S., Rho, W.G., You, SS. et al., Evaluation of Thermodynamic Models for Predicting Phase Equilibria of CO₂ + Impurity Binary Mixture, Int J Thermophys 39, 44, 2018.
- [68] Luna-Ortiz, Eduardo, Yao, Cathy, Barnes, Jon, Winter, Matthew, and Matthew Healey., Development of A CO₂ Specification for Industrial CCS Transport Networks: Methodology, Limitations and Opportunities, Paper presented at the Offshore Technology Conference, Houston, Texas, USA, May 2022.
- [69] Seiersten, Marion. Materials Selection for Separation, Transportation and Disposal of CO₂, CORROSION 2001, Houston, Texas, March 2001.
- [70] Zheng Y, Ning J, Brown B, et al., Electrochemical model of mild steel corrosion in a mixed H₂S/CO₂ aqueous environment in the absence of protective corrosion product layers. Corrosion. 2015.
- [71] Xiang Y, Wang Z, Xu M, et al. A mechanistic model for pipeline steel corrosion in supercritical CO₂–SO₂–O₂–H₂O environments. J Supercrit Fluids. 2013.

APPENDIX I: LITERATURE SEARCH DOCUMENT SUMMARY

Document	Document Type	Summary
A review of the basic safety requirements of emerging infrastructures for Green Transition [1]	Academic Paper	A general discussion about the effects of impurities on corrosion rates (E.g. Corrosion control through dehydration, carbonic acid formation) was provided.
Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage [2]	Industry Report	Corrosion rates are negligible for dry CO ₂ pipelines but can be rapid when free water is present. Methane reduces the solubility of water in CO ₂ , and H ₂ S, O ₂ and N ₂ may have a similar effect.
Identification and Selection of Major Carbon Dioxide Stream Compositions [3]	Industry Report	Impurity composition tables for Flue Gas, Combustion Stack from Coke Production, Cement Kilns, Natural Gas Combustion and Lime Production were provided.
Potential Dynamics of CO ₂ Stream Composition and Mass Flow Rates in CCS Clusters [4]	Academic Paper	The presence of impurities such as O ₂ , SO _x and NO _x will produce more stringent restrictions on the allowable water content within the pipeline due to synergistic effects.
Corrosion and Bulk Phase Reactions in CO ₂ Transport Pipelines with Impurities: Review of Recent Published Studies [5]	Academic Paper	CO₂ – H₂O – H₂S System: Chevron did some experimental work in the 1970's: No evidence of pitting or general corrosion attack with water content of 1000 ppmv, H₂S content of 800 ppmv and temperature between 3°C and 23°C. CO₂ – H₂O – NO₂ System: NO₂ increases the corrosiveness of a CO₂/H₂O mixture. NO₂ is highly soluble in water and will react with water to produce HNO₃. HNO₃ is approximately twice as corrosive in comparison with HCl and H₂SO₄. CO₂ – H₂O – SO₂ System: Sulphurous acid (H₂SO₃) and sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄) may form when H₂O, SO₂ and O₂ are present. It appears that corrosion is occurring below the water solubility limit of pure CO₂-water systems. CO₂ – H₂O – SO₂ - NO₂ and CO₂ – H₂O – SO₂ - NO₂ – H₂S System:

		Synergistic corrosion effects have been observed for the CO ₂ – H ₂ O – SO ₂ - NO ₂ system in dense phase CO ₂ . It has been proposed that the synergistic effects can be explained by the catalytic behaviour of nitrogen oxides increasing the rate of sulphuric acid production.
A thermodynamic model for predicting mineral reactivity in supercritical carbon dioxide: I. Phase behavior of carbon dioxide–water–chloride salt systems across the H ₂ O-rich to the CO ₂ -rich regions [6]	Academic Paper	CO ₂ –H ₂ O–NaCl System: Increasing NaCl concentration in a supercritical CO ₂ – H ₂ O – NaCl system results in a small reduction in the solubility of water in CO ₂ . The water solubility of supercritical CO ₂ increases as temperature increases. CO ₂ –H ₂ O–CaCl ₂ System: Increasing the concentration of CaCl ₂ in supercritical CO ₂ –H ₂ O–CaCl ₂ results in a significant reduction in water solubility. Water solubility in supercritical CO ₂ increases as temperature increases in this system.
Corrosion in dense phase CO ₂ – the impact of depressurisation and accumulation of impurities [7]	Academic Paper	SO ₂ and NO ₂ are a significant concern if pipeline depressurisation occurs because these species will accumulate in the water phase, reduce the pH of the solution and increase the reactivity of this phase. There are concerns about other impurities accumulating during pipeline depressurisation events.
Corrosion of transport pipelines for CO ₂ – effect of water ingress [8]	Academic Paper	Corrosion does not occur in dense phase CO ₂ – H ₂ O and CO ₂ – H ₂ O – O ₂ systems when the water content is at 500 ppm. Corrosion however occurred at water concentration of 200 ppm when the system also contained SO ₂ . O ₂ can also attack steel directly through an additional cathodic reaction that accelerates the overall corrosion rate.
Effect of SO ₂ and NO ₂ on corrosion and solid formation in dense phase CO ₂ pipelines [9]	Academic Paper	Experiments showed that corrosion takes place at a water concentration far below the water solubility in the pure water-CO ₂ system when NO _x and SO _x were present. Corrosion rates were very fast in the presence of NO ₂ .
Effect of Water Content on the Corrosion Behavior of Carbon Steel in Supercritical CO ₂ Phase with Impurities [10]	Academic Paper	No significant corrosion attack was detected in the supercritical CO ₂ /O ₂ phase with 650, 2000 and 3000 ppm of water. Trace amounts of SO ₂ can result in an increase in corrosion rates. Significant corrosion was observed with 1% SO ₂ under the current guideline for water in CO ₂ pipelines (650 ppm).
Nitric and Sulfuric Acid Solubility in Dense Phase CO ₂ [11]	Academic Paper	Sulphuric acid and nitric acid are both highly hydroscopic, which means that they can absorb water from the bulk CO ₂ phase and create a highly acidic, concentrated aqueous phase that is corrosive to carbon steel. If the nitric and sulphuric acid remains dissolved in the bulk CO ₂ phase, they can remain in the CO ₂ stream without compromising the integrity of the transportation system.

Water Solubility in CO ₂ Mixtures: Experimental and Modelling Investigation [12]	Academic Paper	For CO ₂ – H ₂ O mixtures with either NO ₂ or SO ₂ , the solubility of water in dense phase CO ₂ drops down to close to 500ppm.
Evaluation of Thermodynamic Models for Predicting Phase Equilibria of CO ₂ + Impurity Binary Mixture [13]	Academic Paper	The typical concentrations of impurities in dried CO ₂ were provided for different CCS sources.
Impact of CO ₂ impurity on CO ₂ compression, liquefaction and transportation [14]	Academic Paper	Maximum water content specifications for currently operating pipelines range between 20 ppmv and 640 ppmv. CH ₄ and N ₂ decrease the solubility of water in supercritical CO ₂ , whilst H ₂ S increases water solubility.
A systematic review of key challenges of CO ₂ transport via pipelines [15]	Academic Paper	Amines were highlighted as an impurity that could affect the solubility of water in supercritical CO₂. O₂ corrosion of carbon steel, stress corrosion and hydrogen embrittlement and blistering were identified as other corrosion risks that need to be mitigated in supercritical CO₂ pipeline systems. CO₂ – H₂O – SO₂ - NO₂ – O₂ System: Corrosion rates increased as temperature decreased. Pipeline depressurisation events were identified as a significant concern, as the rapid cooling of the mixture could result in enhanced corrosion rates.
Carbon dioxide transport via pipelines: A systematic review [16]	Academic Paper	Paper has highlighted that there are conflicting opinions in literature regarding the effect of H ₂ S on corrosion rates. CO ₂ quality regulations for CO ₂ pipelines and a list of primary standards and specifications in relation to CO ₂ pipeline design were also provided.
CASE STUDY ON CO ₂ TRANSPORT PIPELINE NETWORK DESIGN FOR HUMBER REGION IN THE UK [17]	Academic Paper/Case Study	Corrosion rates of 10mm/year are usually quoted for CO ₂ pipelines with free water. This paper indicates that corrosion rates increase as flow velocity and temperature increases.
CO ₂ PIPELINES MATERIAL AND SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS [18]	Academic Paper	Expected CO ₂ composition for different CCS technologies was provided. Post-combustion capture has the largest potential concentration for water in the CO ₂ stream.

Corrosion Behavior of Carbon Steels in CCTS Environment [19]	Academic Paper	The reduction of carbonic acid to form H_2 and HCO_3^- may become important at $pH > 5$. Corrosion rates may not be as high as expected in supercritical CO_2 systems due to the formation of a protective $FeCO_3$ scale during carbonic acid corrosion. This protective scale may cover the metal surface and make the surface unavailable for further corrosion.
Determining the corrosive potential of CO_2 transport pipeline in high pCO_2 -water environments [20]	Academic Paper	Corrosion rates are very slow in water-saturated CO_2 under high pressure conditions (Approximately 0.2mm/year) due to the formation of $FeCO_3$ on the steel surface. The solubility of water in supercritical CO_2 increases as temperature and pressure increases.
Development of a Guideline for Safe, Reliable and Cost Efficient Transmission of CO_2 in Pipelines [21]	Academic Paper	CO_2 pipeline operators in North America have had a significant focus on controlling the water content of their pipelines, which means that internal corrosion has not been a common failure mode.
IMPACTS: Framework for risk assessment of CO_2 transport and storage infrastructure [22]	Academic Paper	Hydrosulphuric acid ($H_2S.H_2O$), nitrous acid (HONO), hydrogen fluoride (HF), ammonium nitrate (NH_4NO_3) and chlorine were identified as other chemical species that could contribute to internal pipeline corrosion if there is sufficient water in the pipeline.
Recommended Practice DNV-RP-J202 – Design and Operation of CO_2 Pipelines [23]	Standard	This Recommended Practice (RP) provides guidelines in relation to the design of CO_2 transportation systems. General information in relation to CO_2 pipeline corrosion and the prevention strategies that are available have been provided.
Towards a CO_2 Pipeline Specification: Defining Tolerance Limits for Impurities [24]	Academic Paper	The presence of H_2S appears to increase the solubility of H_2O in dense phase CO_2 . Corrosion rates as high as 20 mm/year could be observed at high pressure and temperature when free water is present. It appears that a threshold water level does not exist for the onset of corrosion. Examples of CO_2 pipeline quality specifications and compositions have been provided.
Update of DNV recommended practice RP-J202 with focus on CO_2 Corrosion with Impurities [25]	Academic Paper	Experiments showed that dense phase CO_2 with 500 ppmv water resulted in corrosion under most circumstances when NO_2 , SO_2 , H_2S and O_2 were present in moderate amounts.

DYNAMIS CO ₂ quality recommendations [26]	Industry Report	Provided recommendations on the impurity limits for CO ₂ transportation pipeline systems. Even if no water is present in a CO ₂ mixture, hydrogen sulphide will still corrode carbon steel pipelines and form iron sulphide. More stringent water control is required during CO ₂ transport at lower pressure and temperature conditions.
Effect of Impurities on the Corrosion Behavior of CO ₂ Transmission Pipeline Steel in Supercritical CO ₂ - Water Environments [27]	Academic Paper	One key reason why O ₂ increases corrosion rates in a CO ₂ – H ₂ O – O ₂ system is because it inhibits the formation of a protective FeCO ₃ layer.
Quality Guidelines for Energy System Studies – CO ₂ Impurity Design Parameters [28]	Industry Report	CO ₂ impurity guidelines were provided. O ₂ can provide cathodic reaction paths that lead to the corrosion of carbon steel pipes, and it inhibits the formation of a protective FeCO ₃ layer. This report indicates that in the absence of H ₂ O, SO ₂ will not corrode carbon steel pipes.
State-of-the-Art Overview of CO ₂ Pipeline Transport with Relevance to Offshore Pipelines [29]	Industry Report	At high partial pressures, existing models tend to overestimate corrosion rates. The paper indicates that corrosion attacks in CO ₂ pipeline systems will often be localised at initial initiation sites, leading to high localised corrosion rates. MEG (mono-ethylene glycol) was identified as a potential corrosion inhibitor.
The upper limit of moisture content for supercritical CO ₂ pipeline transport [30]	Academic Paper	The upper limit of moisture content in CO ₂ pipelines is directly dependent on the temperature of the ambient surroundings, as well as the presence of thermal insulation.
Understanding dense phase CO ₂ corrosion problems [31]	Academic Paper	Experimental Conditions: CO ₂ /SO ₂ /H ₂ O, SO ₂ Concentration = 500ppm, H ₂ O = 3300ppm, supercritical conditions: Significant corrosion was detected under these conditions after 1100hr of exposure time. No pits or signs of localised corrosion was detected.
Recommended Practice DNV-RP-F104 – Design and operation of carbon dioxide pipelines [32]	Standard	This is the latest DNV standard in relation to the design of CO ₂ pipelines. The standard provides a summary of the known corrosion mechanisms in CO ₂ pipelines, and the strategies that can be used to mitigate against corrosion.
Carbon dioxide capture, transportation, and geological storage – Cross Cutting Issues – CO ₂ stream composition [33]	Standard	Provides a general and succinct summary about CO ₂ pipeline internal corrosion. The standard has also reported the impurity levels that have been used in existing pipelines, as well as published CO ₂ recommended impurity levels.

CORROSION AND MATERIALS SELECTION IN CCS SYSTEMS [34]	Industry Report	Iron carbonates can form protective layers at above 60 °C (The formation of this film is dependent on CO ₂ concentration). Iron sulphide can form protective layers when pCO ₂ /pH ₂ S ratios are less than 200:1. Chlorides in small quantities can promote breakdown of the passive film protecting stainless steel and nickel alloys. Both HCl and NaOH can promote the formation of a free water phase. Discussion of corrosion mechanisms, rates and material selection to mitigate against corrosion in this report is extensive.
--	-----------------	--

APPENDIX I REFERENCE LIST

- [1] Mocellin, P., Vianello, C., A review of the basic safety requirements of emerging infrastructures for Green Transition, AIDIC, Padova, April 2021
- [2] Working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2005.
- [3] Last, G. V., Schmick, M. T., Identification and Selection of Major Carbon Dioxide Stream Compositions, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Washington, June 2011.
- [4] Kahlke, S., Pumpa, M., Schütz, S., Kather, A., Rütters, H., Potential Dynamics of CO₂ Stream Composition and Mass Flow Rates in CCS Clusters, MDPI, September 2020.
- [5] Halseid, M., Dugstad, A., Morland, B., Corrosion and bulk phase reactions in CO₂ transport pipelines with impurities: review of recent published studies, Elsevier, Norway, 2014.
- [6] Springer, R. D., Wang, Z., Anderko, A., Wang, P., Felmy, A. R., A thermodynamic model for predicting mineral reactivity in supercritical carbon dioxide: I. Phase behavior of carbon dioxide–water–chloride salt systems across the H₂O-rich to the CO₂-rich regions, Elsevier, July 2012.
- [7] Dugstad, A., Halseid, M., Morland, B., Sivertsen, A. O., Corrosion in dense phase CO₂ – the impact of depressurisation and accumulation of impurities, Elsevier, Norway, March 2013.
- [8] Dugstad, A., Morland, B., Clausen, S., Corrosion of transport pipelines for CO₂ – effect of water ingress, Elsevier, Norway, 2011.
- [9] Dugstad, A., Halseid, M., Morland, B., Effect of SO₂ and NO₂ on corrosion and solid formation in dense phase CO₂ pipelines, Elsevier, Kjeller, 2013.
- [10] Choi, Y-S., Nešić, S., Effect of Water Content on the Corrosion Behavior of Carbon Steel in Supercritical CO₂ Phase with Impurities, NACE International, Houston, March 2011.
- [11] Morland, B. H., Tadesse, A., Svenningsen, G., Springer, R. D., Nitric and Sulfuric Acid Solubility in Dense Phase CO₂, ACS Publications, November 2019.
- [12] Ahmad, M., Gersen, S., Water Solubility in CO₂ Mixtures: Experimental and Modelling Investigation, Elsevier, Groningen, 2014.
- [13] Shin, B. S., Rho, W. G., You, S-S., Kang, J. W., Lee, C. S., Evaluation of Thermodynamic Models for Predicting Phase Equilibria of CO₂ + Impurity Binary Mixture, Springer Link, Republic of Korea, January 2018.
- [14] Wetenhall, B., et. al, Impact of CO₂ impurity on CO₂ compression, liquefaction and transportation, Elsevier, 2014.
- [15] Onyebuchi, V. E., et. al, A systematic review of key challenges of CO₂ transport via pipelines, Elsevier, Bedford, January 2018.
- [16] Lu, H., Ma, X., Huang, K., Fu, L., Azimi, M., Carbon dioxide transport via pipelines: A systematic review, Elsevier, May 2020.
- [17] Lazic, T., Oko, E., Wang, M., CASE STUDY ON CO₂ TRANSPORT PIPELINE NETWORK DESIGN FOR HUMBER REGION IN THE UK, SAGE journals, Bedford, October 2013.
- [18] Bilio, M., Brown, S., Fairweather, M., Mahgerefteh, H., CO₂ PIPELINES MATERIAL AND SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS, Institution of Chemical Engineers, November 2009.
- [19] Cabrini, M., Lorenzi, S., Pastore, T., Corrosion Behavior of Carbon Steels in CCTS Environment, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Bergamo, January 2016.
- [20] Choi, Y-S., Nešić, S., Determining the corrosive potential of CO₂ transport pipeline in high pCO₂-water environments, Elsevier, Athens, December 2010.
- [21] Eldevik, F., Graver, B., Torbergsen, L. E., Saugerud, O. T., Development of a Guideline for Safe, Reliable and Cost Efficient Transmission of CO₂ in Pipelines, Elsevier, Høvik, 2009.
- [22] Brown, A., et. al, IMPACTS: Framework for risk assessment of CO₂ transport and storage infrastructure, Elsevier, 2017.
- [23] Det Norske Veritas, Recommended Practice DNV-RP-J202 – Design and Operation of CO₂ Pipelines, April 2010
- [24] Race, J. M., Wetenhall, B., Seevam, P. N., Downie, M. J., Towards a CO₂ Pipeline Specification: Defining Tolerance Limits for Impurities, Strathprints, Newcastle, 2012.
- [25] Brown, J., et. al, Update of DNV recommended practice RP-J202 with focus on CO₂ Corrosion with Impurities, Elsevier, Norway, 2014.
- [26] de Visser, E., Hendriks, C., DYNAMIS CO₂ quality recommendations, Ecofys Netherlands bv, June 2007.
- [27] International Standards Organization, ISO 27913: Carbon dioxide capture, transportation and geological storage – Pipeline transportation systems, November 2016.
- [28] National Energy Technology Laboratory, Quality Guidelines for Energy System Studies – CO₂ Impurity Design Parameters, US Department of Energy, January 2019.
- [29] Oosterkamp, A., Ramsen, J., State-of-the-Art Overview of CO₂ Pipeline Transport with Relevance to Offshore Pipelines, Polytec, Norway, January 2008.
- [30] Xiang, Y., et. al, The upper limit of moisture content for supercritical CO₂ pipeline transport, Elsevier, Beijing, March 2012.
- [31] Patchigolla, K., Oakey, J. E., Anthony, E. J., Understanding dense phase CO₂ corrosion problems, Elsevier, Cranfield, 2014.
- [32] Det Norske Veritas, Recommended Practice DNV-RP-F104 – Design and operation of carbon dioxide pipelines, September 2021.

- [33] International Standards Organization, ISO/TR 27921: Carbon dioxide capture, transportation, and geological storage – Cross Cutting Issues – CO₂ stream composition, May 2020.
- [34] IEAGHG, CORROSION AND MATERIALS SELECTION IN CCS SYSTEMS, April 2010.

APPENDIX II: ACADEMIC DATABASE SUMMARY



Future Fuels CRC

Enabling the Decarbonisation of
Australia's Energy Networks

 www.futurefuelscrc.com

 info@futurefuelscrc.com



Australian Government
Department of Industry, Science,
Energy and Resources

AusIndustry
Cooperative Research
Centres Program